MEMORANDUM

TO: Town Council

FROM: David E. Cox, Town Manage,

DATE: January 8, 2021

SUBJECT: Additional/Corrected Agenda Information – 1/12/2021

I have received additional and clarifying information on the matter related to HVAC improvements at Fire Station #1 on Barton Hill Road. I previously misunderstood the situation and this memorandum will attempt to clarify the matter. In short, the Fire Department and I are requesting a waiver of the competitive bidding requirements of the Town Code as the most conservative way to handle work at the Fire Station that will now cost more than the figure included in the Town Code (\$20,000) above which the competitive bidding process is required.

The Fire Department sought proposals to complete HVAC work at Fire Station #1 (Company #1) that involved installation of three ductless split heat pumps in the Chief's Office, the Server Room and the Meeting Room. Costs for those proposals were: Caso's - \$20,136; Tech Unlimited - \$18,600 and T&S - \$14,350. This original purchase alone would meet the terms of the purchasing ordinance and would not need Council approval. However, during final review of the work and prior to contracting for the project, it was determined that the existing air conditioning unit in the Recreation Room of Company #1 was damaged beyond repair and needed to be replaced. This work was added to the intended project and a price was received from T&S in the amount of \$7,550. At this point, the HVAC related work at Company #1 had risen to a total of \$21,900; above the Code threshold.

In the interest of a conservative reading of the Purchasing Ordinance, I would ask the Council to review the overall purchase of HVAC improvements at Company #1 in the amount of \$21,900 and consider waiving the competitive bidding process. Three quotations were received for the original scope of work, which would not have triggered the bidding requirements. Additional identical work was identified as needing to be done and, because it is being looked at as one project, the total cost has exceeded the bidding requirements. Rather than expending the time and staff resources to undertake a formal competitive bidding process, the Council is asked to waive that process in favor of allowing the work to be performed by the company that offered the lowest original quotation.

As a reminder, the Code requires 3/4 of the elected members of Council to approve such waiver. The recommended action for the Council if they wish to approve is to indicate reasons why doing so is in the best interest of the community, perhaps as discussed above, and adopt a motion to waive competitive bidding for HVAC improvements at Fire Station #1 including the Chief's Office, Server Room, Meeting Room and Recreation Room.

Again, Department representatives will be available at the meeting to answer questions.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Town Council

FROM: David E. Cox, Town Manage,

DATE: January 7, 2021

SUBJECT: Agenda Information – 1/12/2021

The following is additional or summary information regarding matters on the upcoming Town Council Agenda. The numbering below follows the agenda and some routine or self-explanatory items are not discussed in this memo. As you review your packet materials, please do not hesitate to contact the appropriate staff member or me prior to the Council meeting with any questions or concerns. Often, these conversations can help staff and me be prepared for the Council meeting and be ready to facilitate a more productive and efficient meeting for everyone.

7 Resolutions/Ordinances/Policies/Proclamations

Proclamation Honoring Retired Police Sergeant Paul Battista – At the end of last year, Sergeant Paul Battista retired from the Town's Police Department after more than 25 years of service to the Community. The Council is asked to honor his service to the Town via a Proclamation at this meeting.

Recommended Action: Approve and extend the Proclamation honoring and thanking Sergeant Battista.

7b Police Department General Order 5.5 Regarding Family Violence – Chief Woessner will present this annual update to the existing policy regarding response to Family Violence calls. The changes are driven by mandated changes from the POST Council and relate to preserving confidentiality of certain personal information about victims in records released to the public.

Recommended Action: Approve updated General Order 5.5 regarding Family Violence.

Resolution Regarding a Committee for the High School Athletic Fields Project – At its meeting in December, the Council approved an agreement with Milone and MacBroom (now SLR) for professional services related to design, bidding and construction of the rehabilitation and replacement of the baseball field, tennis courts, track and limited work on the soccer field conditioned on Town Meeting approval of the High School Project Scope Amendment. The Town Meeting approved the Scope Amendment on December 14. Part of the approval authorizes the Town Council to establish a building committee to determine the particulars of the project(s) and implement the work. The Council is asked to consider a Resolution to establish this committee. The proposed resolution utilizes the staff and Council member that managed the Professional Services RFP process plus two resident positions as the Committee. The Council is asked to consider the Resolution and whether this committee structure is acceptable.

Town Council - Agenda Information – 1/12/2021 January 7, 2021 Page 2

Recommended Action: Approve the Resolution.

9 New Business

9a Authorization Related to CRF Applications – The Council is asked to approve a motion to officially authorize application to the federal and state Coronavirus relief funds for reimbursement of extraordinary expenses related to responding to the pandemic. This is a technical requirement that was made known to East Hampton staff and other municipalities in December after the first round of applications had been made and funds received. As such, the Council action is intended to retroactively and prospectively authorize the applications to cover the timeframes in the various programs. The Town has received a total of \$105,141 through these programs including FEMA in the amount of \$3,031; the State Coronavirus Relief Fund in the amount of \$5,269 and additional allocations from the Coronavirus Relief Fund in the amount of \$96,841.

Recommended Action: Approve a motion that the Town Manager or designee be authorized to submit for expenditure reimbursements pursuant to the Federal Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) and the State of Connecticut Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) Municipal grant program and that this authorization be retroactively effective to March 1, 2020.

9b Consideration of a Request to provide CRF funding to Chatham Health District – Chatham Health District has shouldered a significant portion of the COVID-19 response in the five municipalities that comprise the District partners. For most of the last nine months, the staff and Director have largely focused on COVID-19 response activities, including providing public education, distributing PPE, reporting to PSAPs, conducting contact tracing, expanding access to community testing, clarifying and enforcing Executive Orders and DECD Sector Rules, supporting day cares and school districts, providing guidance to small businesses, and directly engaging in operations planning for municipal departments such as libraries, senior centers, transfer stations, and town halls.

While the District has received some funding through DPH (including a recent Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity grant), the use of those funds has been restricted to hiring one new part-time staff member, covering a portion of the salary for an environmental health specialist, and some limited overtime reimbursement. The new guidance from the Department of Treasury that is detailed in the attached OPM memo dated December 16, 2020 allows that District staff salary expenses meet the substantially dedicated test even though they were budgeted before March 27, 2020. The work being performed is substantially different than originally anticipated. The memo further indicates that Health Districts should work with their member municipalities to access these funds. Access to some of the CRF funds will allow Chatham Health District as the Town's health department to recoup expenses that were not directly allowed under the DPH funding, and will help assure that the District can continue to provide the level of services the communities require and deserve, which will be particularly important as vaccination is added to the long list of response activities.

In keeping with the OPM goal to streamline this process and to assure equity across our municipalities, the District proposes that each member municipality allocate 20% of its total population-based CRF

Town Council - Agenda Information – 1/12/2021 January 7, 2021 Page 3

allotment as a one-time, mid-year additional allocation to Chatham Health District (see table below). This will allow the District to stabilize its budget from the COVID-19 disruption to the planned activities while leaving the majority of the allotment to other assist departments and activities.

If the Council is willing to make this allocation, the formal process would commence through the Board of Finance to allocate these funds to the Town's expense to Chatham Health District. The final step would come back to the Council in February or so.

	Population-Based		
Municipality	Allotment Formula	% Request	\$ Request
Colchester	\$126,769.00	20.0%	\$25,353.80
East Haddam	\$62,617.00	20.0%	\$12,523.40
East Hampton	\$96,841.00	20.0%	\$19,368.20
Hebron	\$70,092.00	20.0%	\$14,018.40
Marlborough	\$44,618.00	20.0%	\$8,923.60
Portland	\$66,682.00	20.0%	\$13,336.40
TOTAL	\$467,619.00	20.0%	\$93,523.80

Recommended Action: Adopt a motion to indicate Council support for providing additional funds to Chatham Health District and directing staff to implement the process to allocate the funds.

Solution Consideration of a Request to waive competitive bidding and other purchasing ordinance requirements for an HVAC project at Fire Station #1 – The Fire Commission mistakenly planned to implement work that would require competitive bidding under the Town's purchasing ordinance to add air conditioning and supplemental heat to Fire Station 1 via ductless split heat pumps but had not followed the bidding process. Additionally, the Department may also be requesting authorization to award the work to a contractor that was not the lowest quote. I will note that the Department received three quotations for this work with prices ranging from \$18,600 (which would not trigger the bidding requirements) to \$21,900. Representatives of the Department will be at the meeting to discuss the project and the various requests. If the Council agrees to the waiver, the appropriate notice will be published in the paper and the Council will be asked to take action at a subsequent meeting.

Recommended Action: Consider the request and determine whether the waivers will be granted.

The remainder of the items are of a routine nature, in the sole purview of the Council or are announcements. Please contact me or the appropriate staff member with questions or concerns.

Town of East Hampton Town Council Regular Meeting Tuesday, December 8, 2020 Virtual Meeting via Zoom

MINUTES

Present: Chairman Pete Brown, Vice Chairman Dean Markham, Council Members Tim Feegel, Derek Johnson, Barbara Moore, Mark Philhower and Kevin Reich and Town Manager David Cox.

Call to Order

Chairman Brown called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

Adoption of Agenda:

A motion was made by Ms. Moore, seconded by Mr. Markham, to adopt the agenda as written. Voted (7-0)

Approval of Minutes

A motion was made by Mr. Reich, seconded by Mr. Johnson, to approve the minutes of the Town Council Regular Meeting of November 24, 2020 as written. Voted (7-0)

Public Remarks

None

Presentations

Presentation Regarding the Town's Pavement Evaluation and Management Tool

Public Works Director Matt Walsh and Tony Garro from BETA provided an overview of a Pavement Management Program. The Town purchased a software tool and system to evaluate the condition of the Town's road system. This program assists in developing a long-range plan for managing the roads. A copy of the presentation document will be included with the minutes filed in the Town Clerk's Office.

Bids & Contracts

Contract Award for Professional Services for High School Athletic Fields

A Request for Proposals for design assistance related to the proposed replacement and rehabilitation of the baseball field, tennis courts, track and soccer field at the High School was issued in October. The Town received 14 proposals. The committee reviewed all proposals and interviewed three firms. The recommended firm is Milone and MacBroom with an anticipated fee of \$70,500 plus direct reimbursable expenses estimated at \$2,000.

The topic for additional funding for these projects is the subject of the Town Meeting on Monday, December 14, In order to move ahead with the project if the funding plan is approved at Town Meeting, the Council is asked to approve an agreement with Milone and MacBroom conditioned on Town Meeting approval of the High School Project Scope Amendment.

A motion was made by Ms. Moore, seconded by Mr. Johnson, to approve an agreement with Milone & MacBroom for the High School Athletic Fields Project pursuant to the firm's proposal and conditioned on the Town Meeting determination. Voted (7-0)

Resolution/ Ordinances/ Policies/ Proclamation

None

Continued Business

None

New Business

Consideration of a Motion to Amend the Purchase and Sale Agreement Related to Purchase of the Christopher Pond Property

The Town entered into an agreement with the ownership of the Christopher Road property on which the Christopher Pond is located that provided for the purchase of the property upon the Town receiving a grant for the purchase and completion of the Town Meeting approval process thereafter. The State has delayed announcing whether the Town will receive the grant. The owners have agreed to the delay for the sale through June 30, 2021 but a payment for the rest of the year's taxes would be made by the Town. The payment would be approximately \$1,500.00. The Council is asked to authorize an amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement that extends the action deadlines for Town Meeting approval.

A motion was made by Mr. Markham, seconded by Mr. Reich, to authorize the Town Manager to execute an amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement for the Christopher Pond property through June 30, 2021 with a payment for taxes due up to \$1,500.00. Voted (7-0)

Town Manager Report

Mr. Cox provided an overview of the Town Manager's Report, which will be included with the minutes filed in the Town Clerk's Office.

Appointments

A motion was made by Ms. Moore, seconded by Mr. Philhower, to reappoint Wesley Jenks to the Conservation-Lake Commission. Voted (7-0)

Tax Refunds

A motion was made by Mr. Markham, seconded by Ms. Moore, to approve tax refunds in the amount of \$1,284.67. Voted (7-0)

Public Remarks

None

Communications, Correspondence & Announcement

None

Adjournment

A motion was made by Mr. Reich, seconded by Mr. Philhower, to adjourn the meeting at 7:37pm. Voted (7-0)

Respectfully Submitted,

Cathy Sirois Recording Clerk Town of East Hampton Town Council Special Meeting Thursday, December 17, 2020 Virtual Meeting via Zoom

MINUTES

Present: Chairman Pete Brown, Vice Chairman Dean Markham, Council Members Barbara Moore and Kevin Reich, Town Manager David Cox and Labor Attorney Nicholas Grello

Not Present: Tim Feegel, Derek Johnson and Mark Philhower

Call to Order

Chairman Brown called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. via Zoom.

Consideration of an Agreement Between the Town of East Hampton and East Hampton Police Union, Local #2407, Council 4, AFSCME, AFL-CIO for July 1, 2020 – June 30, 2023

A motion was made by Ms. Moore, seconded by Mr. Markham, to approve the Agreement Between the Town of East Hampton and East Hampton Police Union, Local #2407, Council 4, AFSCME, AFL-CIO for July 1, 2020 – June 30, 2023. Voted (4-0)

Adjournment

A motion was made by Mr. Markham, seconded by Ms. Moore, to adjourn the meeting at 5:40 p.m. Voted (4-0)

Respectfully Submitted,

Cathy Sirois Recording Clerk



East Hampton Police Department

1 Community Drive East Hampton, CT 06424



December 17, 2020

To:

David Cox, Town Manager

From:

Dennis Woessner, Chief of Police

Subject:

General Order approval

Attached to this memorandum is a General Order which I am submitting for approval:

General Order 5.5, *Family Violence*, is an existing General Order which required updating to reflect the current Connecticut Statewide Model Policy, as prescribed by the Police Officer Standards and Training Council (POSTC). The only changes to the policy are marked in red on page 11. This General Order will change every year in December.



EAST HAMPTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

GENERAL ORDER 5.5 PATROL FUNCTIONS

SUBJECT: FAMILY VIOLENCE				
Issue Date: TBD	Effective Date: TBD	Distribution: All Personne	əl	
Amends/Rescinds GO: 10/09/2019		Review Date: 12/20/2021		
Per Order of:		POSTC State Accreditati	on	
Duflibesson		1.3.62		
	er, Chief of Police			

This General Order is for departmental use only and does not apply in any criminal or civil proceeding. This General Order should not be construed as creation of a higher legal standard of safety or care in an evidentiary sense with respect to third party claims. Violations of this General Order will only form the basis for departmental administrative sanctions. Violations of law will form the basis for civil and criminal sanctions in a recognized judicial setting

I. See Attached Police Response to Crimes of Family Violence Connecticut Statewide Model Policy Rev 12/2020

Connecticut Statewide Model Policy

Police Response to Crimes of Family Violence

Model Policies, Procedures and Guidelines

Revised December 2020

Police Response to Crimes of Family Violence

Model Policies, Procedures and Guidelines

Revised: December 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LEODWADD

I. FURWARD	1
II. MODEL POLICY PURPOSE	2
III. FAMILY VIOLENCE DEFINITIONS	3
IV. ORDERS OF PROTECTION (OOP)	5
V. RECOMMENDED RESPONSE PROCEDURES	6
Telecommunication Personnel	6
Responding Officer Responsibilities	6
Supervisor Responsibilities	9
VI. ARREST GUIDELINES	9
General Considerations	9
Prohibited Considerations	_10
Jurisdiction	_1:
Misdemeanor Arrests	
• Felony Arrests	
Warrantless (On-Site) Arrest Considerations	_1
Warrant Arrest Considerations	12
VII. DUAL COMPLAINTS, DOMINANT AGGRESSOR, AND SELF-DEFENSE	12
Dual Complaints	
Dominant Aggressor	12
Self Defense	

VIII. "OFFICER-INVOLVED" DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CASES	15
Sworn Personnel from an Outside Agency	15
Sworn Personnel from within the Law Enforcement Agency	15
IX. ORDERS OF PROTECTION	17
Domestic Violence Alert Notification/GPS Program	18
Domestic Violence Property Retrieval	
Multiple Orders	
Verification of an Order of Protection	19
X. WEAPONS	20
Effect of a Court Order of Protection (OOP)	20
Permit to Carry	
Seizure of Firearms at the Location of a Family Violence Crime	
(Safekeeping Provision)	21
Use or Threatened Use of Weapon in a Family Violence Crime	21
Criminal Possession of a Firearm, Ammunition, Electronic Defense	
Weapon, Pistol or Revolver	22
Seizure of Firearms from Person Posing Risk to Self or Others	
XI. FEDERAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE LAWS	23
Summary of Applicable Federal Violence Against Women Act Sections	23
XII. COMPARISON OF ORDERS OF PROTECTION	25

I. FOREWORD

This document represents Connecticut's model policy for all law enforcement to follow as a minimum standard and is aimed at serving as a meaningful guide when responding to incidents of family violence. The Family Violence Prevention and Response Act (FVPRA) represents a national model of cooperation among the multiple agencies, organizations and individuals who respond to incidents of family violence. The law is very specific regarding the responsibilities of police officers in handling family violence cases.

II. MODEL POLICY PURPOSE

It is the policy of this agency that family violence be treated as serious, violent or potentially violent criminal behavior and, consistent with this policy, that officers fully comply with the Family Violence Prevention and Response Act to:

- Make arrest decisions in such cases in accordance with traditional probable cause standards and existing state statutes;
- Protect victims of domestic violence and provide them with relevant information regarding the availability of community services and support ("Duty to Protect"); and
- Serve as a minimum standard for all law enforcement agencies to follow with the opportunity to add enhancements which serve to reflect the needs of your particular community; and
- Promote officer safety when dealing with family violence situations.

III. FAMILY VIOLENCE DEFINITIONS

"Family violence": means an incident resulting in physical harm, bodily injury or assault, or an act of threatened violence that constitutes fear of imminent physical harm, bodily injury or assault, including, but not limited to, stalking or a pattern of threatening, between family or household members. Verbal abuse or argument does not constitute family violence unless there is present danger and the likelihood that physical violence will occur." CGS §46b-38a(1) (2013).

"Family violence crime" means a crime as defined in §53a-24, other than a delinquent act as defined in §46b-120, which, in addition to its other elements, contains as an element thereof an act of family violence to a family or household member. "Family violence crime" does not include acts by parents or guardians disciplining minor children unless such acts constitute abuse. CGS §46b-38a(3) (2013).

"Family or household member", as defined in CGS §46b-38a(2) means any of the following persons, regardless of the age of such person: (A) Spouses or former spouses; (B) parents or their children; (C) persons related by blood or marriage; (D) persons other than those persons described in subparagraph (C) of this subdivision presently residing together or who have resided together; (E) persons who have a child in common regardless of whether they are or have been married or have lived together at any time; and (F) persons in, or who have recently been in, a dating relationship." CGS §46b-38a(2) (2013).

NOTE: PA 19-189, clarifies the mandatory arrest carve out added to the state's family violence arrest statute in 2018 only applies to "non-family platonic roommates" in the following living situations:

- Attending an institution of higher education and presently residing together in on-campus housing or offcampus housing owned, managed, or operated by the institution of higher education or its agent, or
- Presently residing together in a dwelling unit and making payments pursuant to a rental agreement

Because the definition of a family or household member under Section 46b-38a has not changed, platonic roommates are still able to apply for relief from abuse under a family violence restraining order in civil courts.

"Possess" per CGS <u>§53a-3(2)</u>, means to have physical possession or otherwise to exercise dominion or control over tangible property.

"Safety Plan." A plan developed between a certified domestic violence advocate and a victim/survivor that offers various options for safety which may include law enforcement.

"Trauma-Informed Care." Pursuant to CGS §46b-38b(f) police officers and family violence intervention unit counselors must inform the victim of services available, including providing the victim with contact information for a regional family violence organization that employs, or provides referrals to, counselors who are trained in providing trauma-informed care. Existing law describes this as services directed by a thorough understanding of the neurological, biological psychological, and social effects of trauma and violence on a person. The Act adds that the services be delivered by a regional family violence organization that employs or provides referrals to counselors who:

- Make available to family violence victims resources on trauma exposure and its impact on treatment.
- 2. Engage in efforts to strengthen the resilience and protective factors of victims of family violence who are affected by and vulnerable to trauma,
- 3. Emphasize continuity of care and collaboration among organizations that provide services to children, and
- Maintain professional relationships for referrals and consultations with programs and people with expertise in trauma-informed care.

- "Advocacy" characterizes the work of a certified domestic violence advocate, working for a designated domestic violence organization who is working with, and in support of, a survivor that keeps with a survivor-centered, empowerment-based, and self-determined approach.
- "Family Violence Victim Advocate FVVA" a person (A) who is employed by and under the control of a direct service supervisor of a domestic violence agency, (B) who has undergone a minimum of twenty hours of training which shall include, but not be limited to, the dynamics of domestic violence, crisis intervention, communication skills, working with diverse populations, an overview of the state criminal justice and civil family court systems and information about state and community resources for victims of domestic violence, (C) who is certified as a counselor by the domestic violence agency that provided such training, and (D) whose primary purpose is the rendering of advice, counsel and assistance to, and the advocacy of the cause of, victims of domestic violence.
- "Child and Family Advocate" a person who is working within and supervised by a domestic violence organization, whose primary role is to provide services, support and advocacy to sheltered and non-sheltered child, adolescent and teen victims of domestic violence and their families.
- **"Dominant Aggressor"** means the person who poses the most serious ongoing threat in a situation involving the suspected commission of a family violence crime. [CGS §46b-38a(5) as amended by PA 18-5]

IV. ORDERS OF PROTECTION (OOP)

"Conditions of Release Order" for family violence should be set by Law Enforcement or Bail Commissioner upon release from custody, and remains in effect until the arrested person has been presented before the Superior Court [CGS §54-63c(b)]. For Law Enforcement specifically, in addition to completing the JD-CR-146, the duty supervisor shall ensure that the conditions and restrictions are entered into NCIC as a File 20 with restrictions.

"Restraining Order." A restraining order is issued by a judge of the civil court against a person who is a family or household member, usually after a hearing, but the court may issue the order immediately upon application by the victim as an "ex parte" order to remain in effect until the hearing. In the restraining order, the judge can order the abuser not to hurt or harass the victim. The judge may also order the abuser to move out of the home and order the victim to have temporary custody of the children.

"Protective Order." A protective order is issued by a *criminal court* judge and is directed against a defendant who has been arrested for a family violence crime or whenever a protective order is an appropriate remedy in a criminal case.

"Standing Criminal Protective Order" means a criminal order of protection issued by a criminal court judge at the time of an offenders sentencing. The order can remain in effect for a significant duration of time. Previously known as a Standing Criminal Restraining Order (prior to October 1, 2010) with no expiration date.

"Foreign Order of Protection" means any protection order, as defined in 18 USC 2266, a restraining or protective order issued by a court of another state, the District of Columbia, a commonwealth, territory or possession of the United States or an Indian tribe.

"Civil Protection Order" means an order of protection issued by a civil court to protect an applicant who has been the victim of stalking, sexual assault and/or sexual abuse that is not related to family or domestic violence.

NOTE: Pursuant to CGS §53a-223, no person who is listed as a protected person in any order of protection may be liable for:

- Soliciting, requesting, commanding, importuning or intentionally aiding in the violation
 of the order or
- 2) Conspiracy to violate such order.

V. RECOMMENDED RESPONSE PROCEDURES

Telecommunication Personnel

When taking a call for service, telecommunication personnel should follow department protocol when obtaining information regarding a family violence incident. Particular attention should be paid to the following:

- the caller's name and relationship to the offender;
- the victim's name and the offender's name, and their relationship to each other;
- · the nature of the abuse, or suspected or sustained injuries;
- whether weapons were implied, involved and/or present;
- previous available complaint history;
- whether the victim has a current OOP;
- whether a court order of protection is in place;
 - Check the Connecticut Protective Order Registry File 20 and relay to the responding officer;
- whether children are involved;
- whether there is a presence of alcohol, drugs, or mental illness; and
- Telecommunication personnel are reminded that family violence victims are provided with the incident case number and contact information for the investigating agency, in order to allow them to obtain periodic updates as to the offender's incarceration status. Telecommunications personnel are to assist victim(s) who make such inquiries as to defendants who remain housed at the investigating agency.

Responding Officer

- Assess and define the nature of the incident by talking to parties separately where it is safe and practical - and not in view of one another.
- Determine the presence and status of any weapons and refer to the model policy section on firearms
- Provide assistance to the victim regardless of the victim's race, age, gender, religious beliefs, immigration status, ethnicity, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression.
 - o Assist the victim to obtain medical treatment if such treatment is required.
 - o Notify the victim of the right to file an affidavit for a warrant for arrest.
 - o Inform the victim of services available by providing the victim with contact information for Connecticut Safe Connect, to link to a certified domestic violence counselor for help. 1-888-774-2900 or www.ctsafeconnect.org. Help may be accessed through Safe Connect via phone call, live chat, text, or email with a connection to a local domestic violence organization.
 - Provide assistance in accordance with the uniform protocols for treating victims of family violence whose immigration status is questionable.
 - o Remain at the scene for a reasonable time until; in the reasonable judgment of the officer, the likelihood of further imminent violence has been eliminated.
- Determine whether the offender is the subject of any *Order of Protection or Conditions of Release* that includes "no contact with the victim" or "no use or possession of dangerous instrument or possessing any deadly weapons."
- Verify that the order and conditions apply to the involved victim and offender.

- Determine whether children are present, that they are safe, and unhurt and complete the following if necessary:
 - o If child abuse and/or neglect is suspected, report to DCF by phone [CGS <u>§17a-101b</u>] and complete form DCF-136. [CGS <u>§17a-101c</u>]
 - o Interview children as witnesses according to circumstances and department policy
 - Consider a trauma informed (forensic interview) when necessary.
 - When possible and appropriate, work cooperatively with the Child and Family Advocate at the regional family violence provider or other mental health and child welfare agencies to identify opportunities to more fully offer children trauma informed services and response at the scene of a family violence incident and develop strategies that measure impact.
 - When Appropriate, consider utilizing the Emergency Mobile Psychiatric Services (EMPS) at the scene.
 - Make arrangements for the child's care if dual custodial arrests are made.
- Do not use children to serve as an interpreter for the adult.
- If abuse and/or neglect of an elderly person or a person with an intellectual disability is suspected complete the required reports and/or notifications.
- Obtain a statement from the victim, and when appropriate, a signed medical release form with the victim's consent.
- Carefully document the condition of the scene.
- When possible, photograph the scene and any visible injuries on the victim.
- When complaints of family violence are made by two or more opposing persons, a peace
 officer is not required to arrest both persons. The peace officer shall evaluate each complaint
 separately to determine which person is the dominant aggressor. [CGS §46b-38a(b) as
 amended by PA 18-5]
- Give the victim(s) a "Victim of Crime Card", from the Office of Victim Services containing
 information about victims' rights and phone numbers for services; [CGS §46b-38b(f)]. (CGS
 §54-216 permits victims of domestic violence to obtain restitution services from the Office of
 Victim Services.)
- Officers are strongly discouraged from requesting information about or otherwise
 investigating or assisting in the investigation of citizenship or residency status of any victim,
 unless such an inquiry or investigation is required by statute, ordinance, federal regulation,
 or a court decision. Officers should refer to the uniform enforcement protocol for treating
 victims of family violence whose immigration status is questionable at
 http://www.ct.gov/post/lib/post/general_notices/general_notice_10-1.pdf.
- Before leaving the scene, identify the local domestic violence service provider, and help the victim to develop a short-term safety plan.
- Law Enforcement agencies that voluntarily participate in the Lethality Assessment Program should consider, before leaving the scene of an intimate partner incident conduct the Lethality Assessment Program Screen and follow the appropriate protocol according to the results of the screen.
 - Connecticut's Law Enforcement across the state voluntarily engage in this two-prong danger assessment tool to better understand and serve individuals experiencing violence within their intimate relationships. Individuals who are identified as "High Danger" are connected directly to an advocate at the scene of the incident by the officer. Resources to support advocates and officers are available on the www.CTLAP.ORG website.
- Explain to the victim the process for arrest, arraignment, and bond, including the following:
 - o The offender will be arraigned on the next available court date.

- o Prior to arraignment, the victim can call CT Safe Connect at 1-888-774-2900 or go to www.CTSafeConnect.org 24/7, 365 days/year for support, resources and safety planning, with a connection to a local domestic violence organization.
- On the day of arraignment, the FVVA will provide the victim with accurate information regarding the court process and her/his constitutional rights as a crime victim. The FVVA will represent the victim's wishes to the court. The FVVA will provide information and referrals regarding available community services, register victims for CT SAVIN case notification, assist with applying for Victim Compensation and will help the victim develop a short/long-term safety plan. (*The FVVA will only disclose information as authorized by the victim otherwise, any information given by the victim to the FVVA is confidential, or that which is required by law.)
- O Victim safety is enhanced when she/he has information in regard to the offender's incarceration status. The offender might not be held overnight and may be released shortly after the arrest. A representative of the arresting agency shall provide the victim(s) with the incident case number and appropriate contact information for the investigating agency. Victim(s) are to be encouraged to contact the investigating agency, at the number provided, for periodic updates as to the offender's incarceration status, as they deem appropriate.
- o It is highly recommended that in domestic violence incidents or investigations of order of protection violations, that an officer not notify the alleged offender of a pending arrest or offer voluntary surrender. Voluntary surrender should only be offered where there are unusual circumstances related to officer or victim safety, etc. that would warrant the voluntary surrender.
- When an officer feels that a recorded 911 call or any recorded call for police response will
 enhance an investigation, she/he should request, pursuant to department policies, that the
 recorded call be preserved, seize the recording as evidence and document the seizure in the
 incident report.
- Complete, file and forward to the appropriate agencies a Family Violence Offense Report, DPS-230-C, to include the Crimes Analysis Unit at the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection (DESPP) (Electronic versions of the DPS-230-C must be printed and sent to the Crimes Analysis Unit).
- Document any visible injuries within the report.
- Document any verbal statements made by the victim(s), offender, or witnesses and distinguish the statements with quotes where appropriate.
- Initiate a BOLO (Be On the Lookout) for the offender if probable cause for an arrest is developed and the offender has left the scene and complete a signed/sworn report/affidavit to support the arrest in the event the offender is located and arrested.
- The provisions of CGS §46b-38b shall not apply to persons who are, attending an institution of higher education and presently residing together in on-campus housing or in off-campus housing that is owned, managed or operated by the institution of higher education or its agent, provided such persons are not family or household members as defined in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), (E), or (F) of Subdivision (2) of section 46b-38a, or presently residing in a dwelling unit, as defined in CGS § 47a-1, and making payments pursuant to a rental agreement, as defined in CGS § 47a-1, provided such persons are not family or household members as defined in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), (E) or (F) of subdivision (2) of section 46b 38a. [CGS §46b-38b (j) as amended by PA 19-189]

If unsure of how to proceed in any situation, seek guidance from the supervisor.

Supervisor

- It is recommended that the supervisor conduct a probable cause review at the scene (when
 necessary and feasible) and/or at booking and review all arrests, dual arrest situations, and
 self-defense issues.
- Ensure that all reports, including the Family Violence Offense Report, DPS-230-C are properly completed, filed, and forwarded to the Crimes Analysis Unit on a recommended monthly basis. (Crimes Analysis accepts faxed, emailed, or mailed hard copies.)
- Ensure that follow-up investigative responsibilities, victim safety, and offender release
 considerations are coordinated to allow for shift changes and/or referral to specialized units.
- Upon approval from the court, expedite the arrest warrant execution.
- Be aware that pursuant to CGS §54-63c(a), any offender arrested who uses or threatens to use
 a firearm cannot be released on a promise to appear (PTA).
- Conditions of release for family violence should be set by the duty supervisor [CGS §54-63c(b)] or the bail commissioner [CGS §54-63d(c)]. Either the duty supervisor or the bail commissioner should enter or ensure that a File 20 has been entered into NCIC, with the appropriate conditions/restrictions listed.
 - o If the defendant fails to appear in court on their initial assigned court date, Family Services will send the arresting agency the "Police Notification Family Violence Defendant Failure to Appear at Initial Hearing Date" JD-FM-277 form advising of such. The arresting agency should consider modifying the conditions of release expiration date until the defendant appears in court.
- The shift supervisor is responsible for setting bail after arrest. In the rare instance when a Bail Commissioner reduces the bond set by law enforcement, a shift supervisor, who has concern for the safety of the victim, may contact the State's Attorney within the jurisdiction, who in turn may authorize the police department to delay release on the Bail Commissioners recommendation until the arraignment. [CGS §54-63d(d)].
- Each law enforcement agency shall designate at least one officer with supervisory duties to
 expeditiously review and oversee the Police Response to Crimes of Family Violence Model
 Policies, Procedures, and Guidelines and to enhance such agency's response to victims,
 community, and court personnel with respect to family violence.

Each law enforcement agency shall annually (on or before July 1) submit the Survey to Determine Compliance with the State of Connecticut Family Violence Model Policy form [DESPP -231-C] to the Crimes Analysis Unit at the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection (DESPP), regarding the law enforcement agency's compliance with the Connecticut Family Violence model policy. [CGS §46b-38b(e)(4)]

VI. ARREST GUIDELINES

General Considerations

Except as provided in subsection (b) and (c) of this section, whenever an officer determines
upon speedy information that a family violence crime, as defined in CGS §46b-38a(3), has
been committed within such officer's jurisdiction, such officer shall arrest the person
suspected of its commission and charge such person with the appropriate crime(s). [CGS
§46b-38b(a)]

- The FVPRA does not alter standards for arrest. Traditional constitutional and statutory standards, including <u>CGS §54-1f</u> guidelines, should direct decisions and procedures for making and processing family violence arrests. An officer must determine that probable cause exists for any charge which forms the basis for an arrest.
- When complaints of family violence are made by two or more opposing persons, a peace officer is not required to arrest both persons. The peace officer shall evaluate each complaint separately to determine which person is the dominant aggressor. [CGS §46b-38b(b) as amended by PA 18-5].
- In determining which person is the dominant aggressor, the peace officer shall consider the need to protect victims of domestic violence,
 - o whether one person acted in defense of self or a third person
 - o the relative degree of any injury
 - o any threats creating fear of physical injury
 - o and any history of family violence between such persons, if such history can reasonably be obtained by the peace officer.
- The peace officer shall arrest the person whom the officer believes to be the dominant aggressor.
- No officer investigating an incident of family violence shall threaten, suggest or otherwise
 indicate the arrest of all parties for the purpose of discouraging requests for law enforcement
 intervention by any party. [CGS §46b-38b(b)]
- An officer should emphasize to the parties the criminal nature of family violence and that the criminal action is being initiated by the State, not the victim.
- An officer can choose to make a custodial arrest, a summons arrest, or, in limited situations, may apply for an arrest warrant. Determination of which type of arrest to pursue should include careful consideration of imminent safety concerns for the victim and her/his children.
 - Whether or not an accused posts bond, he or she shall be scheduled for arraignment before the superior court for the geographic area where the offense is alleged to have been committed on the next regularly scheduled day of court business. [CGS §54-1g]
 - If an arrested person is hospitalized, or has escaped or is otherwise incapacitated, the person shall be presented, if practicable, to the first regular sitting after return to police custody.

Prohibited Considerations

- Pursuant to CGS §46b-38b(a) the decision whether to arrest an offender shall not be influenced by the following:
 - o The specific consent of the victim
 - The relationship between persons suspected of committing a family violence crime
 The seriousness of crimes committed between family or household members is not mitigated because of the relationships, living arrangements or genders of those involved.
 - O Solely on the request of the victim.
- In addition to the statutory considerations above, the following considerations should not influence the decision to arrest an offender:
 - The fact that civil proceedings such as separation, divorce or custody disputes are pending. -- Pending civil action does not preclude a thorough investigation and arrest if probable cause exists. Officers should not assume parties are using claims of domestic violence to gain advantages in civil actions. It is well documented that violence escalates when victims take steps to seek protection and/or to leave a violent relationship.

- The victim's previous unwillingness to participate in the complaint or arrest process.
 Often, a victim may be immobilized by fear. Officers should treat each incident with equal importance. There is no way to tell, for example, when a victim may be in more danger or when an abusive partner may become more violent.
- The number or frequency of calls for police assistance at a particular location.—It is
 well documented that the level of violence may increase over time and escalate
 significantly when a victim seeks assistance.
- The victim's wishes to not have the suspect arrested. -- Officers should emphasize that criminal action is being initiated by the state, not the victim.
- Assurances from the offender that the violence will cease. -- If probable cause for an
 arrest exists the officer must proceed accordingly.
- Pursuant to CGS 1-210 (G), PA-19-43. law enforcement agencies shall redact the name and address of the victim of a sexual assault under section 53a-70, 53a-70a, 53a-71, 53a-72a, 53a-72b or 53a-73a, voyeurism under section 53a-189a, [or] injury or risk of injury, or impairing of morals under section 53-21 or family violence, as defined in section 46b-38a, or of an attempt thereofor other identifying information of any victim of sexual assault, voyeurism, injury or risk of injury, or impairing of morals, or family violence, or witness thereof, as defined in section 46b-38a, or [H] uncorroborated allegations subject to destruction pursuant to section 1-216 of an attempt thereof, from any arrest record released to the public.

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 11.5 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 11.5 pt

Jurisdiction

Misdemeanor Arrests

- An officer (who does not have statewide jurisdiction) may arrest for misdemeanor crimes only
 within the geographical boundaries of the territory covered by his/her department, with two
 exceptions:
 - o An officer may arrest outside of his/her jurisdiction anywhere within Connecticut if there is probable cause based on "speedy information" that the crime(s) occurred within his/her jurisdiction and the officer is in immediate pursuit of the suspect. [CGS §54-1f(c)]
 - An officer may arrest anywhere within Connecticut if his/her department holds a valid arrest warrant for the accused.

Felony Arrests

- An officer may arrest anywhere within Connecticut if s/he has probable cause to believe the suspect has committed a felony.
- "Speedy information" is not required for a felony arrest; however, absent speedy information,
 it is recommended that the officer obtain an arrest warrant unless there is a concern for safety
 and/or flight.
- A criminal violation of an order of protection is a felony crime, and could be deemed to impact
 the safety of the victim. If a warrantless arrest is not made, an arrest warrant application and
 an execution of a warrant should be expedited.

Warrantless (On-Site) Arrest Considerations

- CGS §54-1f authorizes an officer to arrest, without previous complaint and warrant, any
 person for any offense (felony or misdemeanor) that occurred within his/her jurisdiction,
 when the person is taken or apprehended in the act or on the "speedy information" of others.
- "Speedy Information" is information received during the course of or promptly after the
 commission of the crime and is of such character that the officer has reasonable grounds to
 accept it as true. Whether such information constitutes speedy information depends on two
 considerations:

- o How proximate in time the information is to the crime; and
- Whether the officer was justified in accepting the information and relying on it. (It is
 the officer's responsibility to check the truthfulness, reliability, and basis of
 knowledge of the person providing the information).

Warrant Arrest Considerations

- In family violence cases, an arrest warrant should be sought only in limited circumstance, such as:
 - When further investigation is needed to establish probable cause (i.e. self-defense, etc.):
 - o When the offender cannot be located pursuant to speedy information;
 - o For a misdemeanor arrest when there is no speedy information; and
 - o For a felony arrest when there is no speedy information, unless there is a concern for safety and/or flight. A criminal violation of an order of protection is a felony crime and should be deemed to impact the safety of the victim.
- Once an officer has determined that probable cause exists, an arrest warrant should be sought
 as soon as possible.
- If a warrant must be sought in any incident involving the use or threatened use of a weapon (electronic defense weapon or firearm), an officer should expedite the application for an execution of the arrest warrant.
- All crimes for which probable cause exists should be charged and the facts supporting each
 charge, including violence or threats of violence, should be detailed in the warrant.

VII. DUAL COMPLAINTS, DOMINANT AGGRESSOR, AND SELF-DEFENSE

In family violence situations, it is not uncommon for the victims of family violence to defend themselves from abusive partners. It is also not unusual for offenders to claim that they were acting in self-defense in an effort to justify their violent or threatening act or to attempt to punish the victim for summoning law enforcement. As a result, when officers respond to complaints of family violence they often face dual complaints from multiple parties. Such situations require responding officers to investigate each complaint separately and determine if either party used force as a means of self-defense.

As discussed previously in the **General Considerations** section, [The FVPRA] CGS §46b-38b(a), requires, in part, that; "whenever a peace officer determines upon speedy information that a family violence crime has been committed within such officer's jurisdiction, such officer shall arrest the person or persons suspected of its commission and charge such person or persons with the appropriate crime." This cited section of the statute provides the basis for what is commonly referred to as the "mandatory arrest policy" that is central to Connecticut's family violence laws. The statute also directs the response of law enforcement when dealing with dual or multiple complaints and claims of self-defense in family violence cases, which may provide an exception to the "mandatory arrest policy."

Dual Complaints and Dominant Aggressor

When complaints of family violence are made by two or more opposing persons, a peace officer is not required to arrest both persons. The peace officer shall evaluate each complaint separately to determine which person is the dominant aggressor. In determining which person is the dominant aggressor, the peace officer shall consider the need to protect victims of domestic violence, whether one person acted in defense of self or a third person, the relative degree of any injury, any threats creating fear of physical injury, and any history of family violence between such persons, if such

history can reasonably be obtained by the peace officer. The peace officer shall arrest the person whom the officer believes to be the dominant aggressor. [CGS §46b-38b(b) as amended by <u>PA 18-51</u>.

If a peace officer believes probable cause exists for the arrest of two or more persons, in lieu of arresting or seeking a warrant for the arrest of any person determined not to be the dominant aggressor, such peace officer may submit a report detailing the conduct of such person during the incident to the state's attorney for the judicial district in which the incident took place for further review and advice. The provisions of this section shall be construed to discourage, when appropriate, but not prohibit, dual arrests. [CGS §46b-38b(c) as amended by PA 18-5].

No peace officer investigating an incident of family violence shall threaten, suggest or otherwise indicate, the arrest of all persons involved in such incident for the purpose of discouraging any request from a person for law enforcement intervention. [CGS §46b-38b(d) as amended by PA 18-5].

No peace officer shall be held liable in any civil action regarding personal injury or injury to property brought by any party to a family violence incident for (1) an arrest based on probable cause; [or for] (2) any conditions of release imposed pursuant to subsection (b) of section 54-63c; or (3) determinations made pursuant to subsection (b) or (c) of this section. [CGS §46b-38b(e) as amended by PA 18-5].

Officers should be aware that, given the nature of family violence, a victim may be afraid to make true and accurate statements regarding the incident due to fear of further violence by an abusive partner. Each complaint must be carefully and thoroughly investigated prior to making an arrest decision to ensure that victims will not be re-victimized by the legal system, or made to fear police intervention. An arrest itself can be particularly traumatic for victims of family violence.

The FVPRA requires officers to arrest a person only if there is probable cause to believe that person committed a family violence crime. Officers are prohibited from threatening, suggesting or otherwise indicating the arrest of all parties involved in an incident of family violence for the purpose of discouraging requests for law enforcement intervention by any party. [CGS §46b-38b(d)].

Dual arrests should be made only when probable cause exists to charge each party with a crime, unless the dominant aggressor has been identified or a request will be made to have the case reviewed by a state's attorney, [CGS §46b-38b(c) as amended by PA 18-5]. In some instances, officers may receive dual complaints, but thorough investigation may only establish probable cause to arrest one of the parties. In other instances, there may be probable cause to arrest one party for a family violence crime and the other for a non-family violence charge, such as interfering with an officer. This does not constitute a dual arrest. Officers should thoroughly document in the report all claims and complaints, as well as any facts and/or circumstances that either corroborate or disprove the claim or complaint. An officer should determine what type of arrest is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances, e.g., a misdemeanor summons arrest, a custodial arrest, or, in limited situations, a later arrest by warrant.

Self-Defense

In determining which person is the dominant aggressor, the peace officer shall consider whether... if one person acted in defense of self or a third person... [CGS §46b-38b(b) as amended by PA 18-5].

Determining whether or not a person is criminally liable when allegedly acting in self-defense can often be a complex legal issue. This section is not intended to be a complete, exhaustive summary of the law regarding self-defense, but rather, is an aid to responding officers in determining whether an arrest may or may not be required under the existing family violence statutes. If an officer is unsure

how to proceed in a situation involving self-defense and/or dual complaints, the officer should contact a supervisor and/or state's attorney.

The law in Connecticut recognizes that the use of physical force upon another person which would otherwise constitute a criminal offense is justifiable and therefore not criminal in certain circumstances. *CGS* §53a-19 is applicable in the context of family violence crime and addresses such circumstances.

C.G.S §53a-19. Use of physical force in defense of person:

This statute defines self-defense and the defense of others. In pertinent part, it provides that "a person is justified in using reasonable physical force upon another person to defend himself or a third person from what he reasonably believes to be the use or imminent use of physical force, and he may use such degree of force which he reasonably believes to be necessary for such purpose; except that deadly physical force may not be used unless the actor reasonably believes that such other person is (1) using or about to use deadly physical force, or (2) inflicting or about to inflict great bodily harm.

There are, of course, exceptions to the use of such physical force in defense of a person. For example, "a person is not justified in using physical force when (1) . . . he provokes the use of physical force by such other person, or (2) is the initial aggressor, except that his use of physical force upon another person under such circumstances is justifiable if he withdraws from the encounter and effectively communicates to such other person his intent to do so, but such other person notwithstanding continues or threatens the use of physical force . . . " and "a person is not justified in using deadly physical force upon another person if he or she knows that he or she can avoid the necessity of using such force with complete safety (1) by retreating, except that the actor shall not be required to retreat if he or she is in his or her dwelling . . ."

- Essentially, the statute requires that, before a person uses physical force in self-defense or to defend a third person, she/he must have two "reasonable beliefs."
 - The first is a reasonable belief that physical force is being used or about to be used upon her/him or another.
 - The second is a reasonable belief that the degree of force she/he is using to defend her/himself is necessary for that purpose.
- When attempting to determine whether or not a person was justified in using self-defense and therefore not subject to the mandatory arrest provisions of the law, the responding officer must make his or her own judgments about the reasonableness of these "beliefs". In making these judgments the officer must first consider:
 - The situation from the perspective of the person acting in self-defense; that is, what did
 the person actually believe, and because the statute requires that the defendant's belief
 be reasonable, and not irrational or unreasonable under the circumstances, and
 - Whether a reasonable person in the defendant's circumstances could have reached that belief.
- The analysis can be broken down into 4 steps or elements:
 - That the actor actually believed that someone else was using or about to use physical force against her/him or a third person;

- That such belief was reasonable because a reasonable person in the actor's circumstances would have shared that belief;
- That the actor actually believed that the degree of force that she/he used was necessary to repel the attack;
- 4) That such belief was reasonable because a reasonable person in the defendant's circumstances, viewing those circumstances from the defendant's perspective, would have shared that belief.

VIII. "OFFICER-INVOLVED" DOMESTIC VIOLENCE INCIDENTS

Police departments must recognize that the law enforcement personnel, whether sworn or civilian, are not immune from committing domestic violence against their intimate partners or being victims of domestic violence. Although no person is exempt, whatever his or her occupation, from the consequences of his or her actions that result in a violation of the law, the dynamics between the responding and accused officers have the potential for making on-scene decisions additionally difficult. The following incident and response protocols are critical components to the integrity of the law enforcement profession and the trust of the community.

Sworn Personnel from an Outside Agency

- If an officer from another police agency is involved in a family violence incident and probable cause exists for the officer's arrest, the officer shall be arrested.
- The highest-ranking on-duty shift supervisor shall notify the officer's agency as soon as possible, but no later than by the end of the Supervisor's shift.

Sworn Personnel from within the Law Enforcement Agency

- If an officer from a law enforcement agency is involved in a family violence incident and probable cause exists for the officer's arrest, the officer shall be arrested.
- The highest-ranking on-duty Shift Supervisor shall notify or cause to be notified the following personnel:
 - o Chief of Police,
 - Command Duty Officer,
 - o The Officer's Division Commander, if different from the Command Duty Officer, and
 - o Internal Affairs, when such division exists.
- The family violence incident will be criminally investigated by an officer at least one (1) rank higher than the officer involved in the incident.
 - o The investigating officer shall ensure that the agency complies with the policy provisions of section *V. Recommended Response Procedures: Responding Officer* and CGS §46b-38b(d).
- An Internal Affairs investigation will be conducted during or upon the conclusion of the criminal investigation if such a division exists within the law enforcement agency.
- If a court order (i.e. restraining order (includes ex-parte order), protective order, or a foreign order of protection) is issued against the officer, the following will be done:
 - The officer shall surrender all law enforcement agency issued firearms to the Chief of Police or his/her designee,
 - The officer shall be prohibited from carrying a firearm while the order of protection is in force and effect.
- Further, in accordance with Connecticut General Statutes, the officer shall:

- Surrender all pistols, revolvers, other firearm(s), ammunition and/or electronic defense weapon(s) to the Commissioner of Emergency Services and Public Protection (DESPP) or any local police department, or;
- o Transfer via sale all pistols, revolvers, other firearm(s), and ammunition to a federally licensed firearms dealer, as required by (CGS §29-36k) and provide the Chief of Police or his/her designee with the proof of this requirement.
- If the officer possesses a state permit or a temporary state permit to carry a pistol or revolver, pistol or revolver eligibility certificate, long gun eligibility certificate, or an ammunition certificate he/she shall surrender the permit/certificate(s) to the State of Connecticut Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection, Division of State Police. (CGS §29-36k).
- If the officer possesses an issued pistol permit from his/her agency, he/she shall surrender the permit to the Chief of Police within the guidelines of the court order.
- The Chief of Police or his/her designee may:
 - o Suspend the officer without pay.
 - o Assign the officer to administrative duties.

IX. ORDERS OF PROTECTION (OOP)

(Please also see Reference Chart at the end of this Document)

Implicit in the issuance of an OOP is a court's finding that a named protected party(ies) is in imminent danger or risk of harm, from a named, identified respondent. In the interest of immediacy, and in light of the threat always present when an order of protection is violated, coupled with the statutory mandate to arrest, officers shall make a warrantless arrest of any person the officer witnesses or has probable cause to believe has violated a restraining order (including ex-parte order), protective order, standing criminal protective order, a foreign order of protection, or the Conditions of Release.

Once probable cause for arrest has been established and if the offender has left the agency's jurisdiction, the Officer shall notify the dispatcher to advise neighboring jurisdictions or jurisdictions where the offender is believed to have fled, that there is probable cause to arrest the offender and to do so if the offender is located, and complete a signed/sworn report/affidavit to support the arrest. The investigating Department, as soon as practical, shall prepare an arrest warrant at this juncture.

- The officer's authority and mandates to arrest are set forth in CGS §46b-38b, and §54-1f.
- A very important role for law enforcement in family violence cases is the enforcement of Orders of Protection. Police should make use of the Connecticut Protective Order Registry - File 20.
- Officers should be aware that the words "Orders of Protection" generally could refer to
 any type of order. Most especially, in the federal law regarding interstate enforcement of
 orders of protection, the reference is general--not specific as to any one of Connecticut's
 types of such orders. Officers should be aware that each state has its own type(s) and titles
 of order(s) that may or may not be equivalent to one or any of Connecticut's orders.
- Connecticut has several types of orders of protection available for victims of family violence, including:
 - o Restraining Order (RO) (includes Ex-Parte Order)
 - o Protective Order (PO)
 - o Civil Protective Order (includes Ex-Parte Order)
 - o Standing Criminal Protective Order (SCPO)
 - o Foreign Orders of Protection
 - o Conditions of Release (COR) (that include "no contact with the victim" and "not to use or possess dangerous instruments or possessing any deadly weapons.")
 - Family Court Orders
- Each type of order has specific characteristics, requirements for issuance and penalties for violation. See Appendix for a *Comparison of Orders of Protection* chart that summarizes and compares the types of orders, how they are issued, what they may include and how they are enforced. Officers should fully understand all aspects of each type of order.
- It is important for police officers to understand and always remember that orders of protection are issued by the court, against the offender, for the protection of the victim. They restrict the offender's behavior and only the offender can violate the orders. (See CGS §53a-223, §53a-223a and §53a-223b, regarding immunity for persons protected by an order of protection.)
- Standard conditions in an OOP or a SCPO (CGS §54-1k) may include provisions enjoining the offender from:
 - o Imposing any restraint upon the person or liberty of the victim;
 - o Threatening, harassing, assaulting, molesting or sexually assaulting the victim; and
 - o Entering the family dwelling or the dwelling of the victim.

- A judge (pursuant to CGS §54-64a) or a bail commissioner (pursuant to CGS §54-63d) can impose on any person charged with a felony, misdemeanor or motor vehicle violation for which a term of imprisonment may be imposed a **Condition of Release** that she/he have "no contact with the victim" in that case. A person who intentionally violates that condition should be arrested for Violation of a Condition of Release. [CGS §53a-222a]
 - o Special conditions that a judge may order in an OOP include, but are not limited to:
 - No direct or indirect contact with the victim; and
 - Not to go or remain within a specific distance of the victim.

Domestic Violence Alert Notification/GPS Program

The State of Connecticut Judicial Branch has a GPS monitoring program(*Alert Notification/GPS*) in Bridgeport, Danielson and Hartford courts to first alert and secure the safety of the victim and then assist law enforcement with attempting to locate and apprehend the offender. THIS ALERT NOTIFICATION SYSTEM IS DIFFERENT FROM THE PAROLE AND PROBATION ELECTRONIC MONITORING OF OFFENDERS IN THE COMMUNITY.

- Offenders that have a history of violating court orders and/or who pose a risk of harm to a protected person(s) can be ordered by a judge to wear a GPS equipped ankle bracelet.
- Specific locations are identified as restricted areas (i.e. the protected persons home, workplace, school, etc.) and the offender is instructed to avoid a 2500 foot area surrounding those areas.
- Alerts:
 - o An alert is triggered if: 1) The offender breaches one of the restricted areas, 2) the ankle bracelet is tampered with, 3) the battery is not charged or, 4) a GPS signal cannot be located
 - o If an alert is triggered, the GPS monitoring company will:
 - Notify the protected person(s) and advise them to activate a pre-established safety plan.
 - Notify the appropriate law enforcement jurisdiction and:
 - Provide the location and direction of travel of the offender and/or other pertinent information.
 - Provide information that will assist responding officers in locating the protected person.
 - Stay on the line with telecommunication personnel if the offender continues to advance towards a protected person(s) and provide a call back number for follow-up.
- Officers dispatched to an Alert Notification/GPS should:
 - o Locate and ensure the safety of the protected person(s).
 - o With due caution attempt to locate the offender.
 - o Determine the reason(s) for the notification.
 - If probable cause is established that the terms of an existing order of protection have been violated - arrest the offender on speedy information if located or apply for an arrest warrant if the offender cannot be located.
 - Document all information in an incident report.

Domestic Violence Personal Property Retrieval

 When a judge issues an order enjoining the offender from entering his/her family dwelling, the offender likely will be advised that she/he may contact the police for a one-time escort to retrieve personal belongings.

- When an order of protection allows for the respondent/defendant to return to the dwelling one time accompanied by an officer, to retrieve belongings:
 - o Initiation of the retrieval shall be at the discretion of the agency in a time period that is reasonable and practical.
 - o The officer must verify the order.
 - The officer must check to ensure that the retrieval has not already been completed by another officer. The officer must contact the protected party to arrange a time for the retrieval.
 - If the officer is unable to make contact with the protected party, or if children are present, the retrieval should be scheduled for a later date/time.
 - The officer is to accompany the respondent throughout the entire retrieval. If they wish to do so, the protected party should be allowed to accompany the officer and respondent during the retrieval.
 - The retrieval should last no longer than 10 to 15 minutes, as the respondent is only retrieving essentials (clothes, toiletry, medication, etc.). Other non-essential or valuable items used by the protected party and/or children (groceries, electronics, jewelry, furniture, etc.) are not to be removed from the dwelling.
 - The officer must document that the retrieval has occurred in a CAD or incident supplement. If a call comes in as something other than a retrieval, such as request for officer, etc., the incident must be changed to "Retrieval."
 - The protected party must have prior notice by the department, and must agree to the timing of the retrieval.
 - The respondent must not be allowed to use this as a means of harassing the protected party.
 - o If it is not practical or safe for the victim to accompany the officer and the offender during the property retrieval, the officer shall review with the victim, before the officer or the offender leave the premises, what essential items the offender is seeking to remove from the residence.

Multiple Orders

- In some situations, a victim may obtain a RO and a PO to get all the court ordered protection available. A victim has a right to apply for a RO even if a PO has already been issued. There is nothing in the RO or PO statutes to prohibit a victim from having both orders.
- In situations where there are multiple orders of protection the officer should:
 - o Verify that the order and conditions apply to the involved victim and offender and;
 - o Document the existence of and issuance date of all orders in their incident report and;
 - o Arrest the offender for any and all valid violations of such orders.

Verification of an Order of Protection

- A violation of any OOP is a felony to include:
 - o Protective Order (CGS §53a-223).
 - o Standing Criminal Protective Order (CGS §53a-223a).
 - o Restraining Order (includes ex-parte order) (CGS §53a-223b)
 - o Foreign Order of Protection (CGS §53a-223b).
 - o Civil Protective Order (CGS §53a-223c).
- A violation of Conditions of Release can be either a felony (CGS §53a-222) or misdemeanor (CGS §53a-222a) based on the original underlying charges.
- Any law enforcement officer may enforce any OOP where they have a good faith basis to believe it is valid.

- The best way to verify an OOP is to check with the Connecticut Registry of Protective Orders— File 20.
- Other methods may include;
 - O Asking the victim if she/he has a copy of the order.
 - o Contacting the issuing court.
 - o Contacting the police agency with jurisdiction where the victim resides/works.
 - o Contacting the police agency with jurisdiction where the offender resides.

X. WEAPONS

Effect of a Court Order of Protection (OOP)

Possession – Definition CGS <u>§53a-3(2)</u>: to have physical possession or otherwise to exercise dominion or control over tangible property.

- When the state marshal service receives an ex-parte order issued by the court that indicates that the respondent holds a;
 - o Permit to carry a pistol or revolver;
 - o An eligibility certificate for a pistol or revolver;
 - A long gun eligibility certificate;
 - o An ammunition eligibility certificate or;
 - o Possesses one or more firearms or ammunition.
- The marshal service shall;
 - o Whenever possible, provide in hand service of the order to the respondent.
 - o Notify the law enforcement agency for the town in which the service will take place.
 - Provide such agency a copy of the application, the applicant's affidavit, the ex-parte order, and the notice of hearing.
 - o Request a police officer from such agency be present when service is executed.
 - When possible and consistent with all other provisions of this policy, the law enforcement agency may consider sending an officer to accompany the state marshal during the service of the ex-parte order.
- Immediately, but not later than 24 hours after notice has been provided to a person subject to a restraining order (includes ex-parte order), protective order, or a foreign order of protection (CGS \$29-36k(a)) such person must:
 - o Transfer/sell to a federally-licensed firearms dealer, any pistols, revolvers, other firearms and/or ammunition in his/her possession. (CGS §29-36k(a)(1), or
 - Deliver or surrender such pistols, revolvers, other firearms and ammunition to the Commissioner of Emergency Services and Public Protection or any local police department. [CGS §29-36k(a)(2)]
- Person's subject to a restraining order (includes ex-parte order), protective order, or a foreign
 order of protection are prohibited from possessing a pistol, revolver, other firearm,
 ammunition or an electronic defense weapon. [CGS §53a-217]
- Persons subject to a Condition of Release "no use or possession of a dangerous weapon" are
 prohibited from possessing or using any dangerous instruments or possessing any deadly
 weapons. [CGS §53a-222 or §53a-222a].
- Refer to section XI, Federal Domestic Violence Laws, section regarding federal law, which
 prohibits the possession of firearms or ammunition by any person, including a police officer,
 who has been convicted in any court of a family violence crime (a family violence crime that
 has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force, or the threatened use of a deadly
 weapon), including a misdemeanor family violence crime.

• Upon the surrender of any firearms or ammunition or if the offender indicates that he/she is not in possession of, nor does he/she have access to, any firearms or ammunition and there is no other evidence to suggest the contrary, consider having the offender complete the Firearm and Ammunition Compliance Statement form (DPS-332C) indicating same.

Permit to Carry

- The issuing authority of a state permit or temporary state permit to carry a pistol or revolver, pistol or revolver eligibility certificate, long gun eligibility certificate, or an ammunition certificate must revoke the permit and/or certificate(s) if the person holding the permit/certificate(s) becomes subject to an order of protection in a case that involves the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against another person. [CGS §29-32, §29-36, §29-37, §29-38]
- Within five days of receiving written notice that a permit/certificate has been revoked, the
 holder of the permit/certificate must surrender the permit/certificate to the issuing authority.
 [CGS §29-32, §29-36, §29-37, §29-38]
- If an offender does not surrender the permit/certificate, he/she should be arrested for any of the following that apply;
 - o Failure to Surrender Permit to Carry a Pistol or Revolver (CGS §29-32); or
 - o Failure to Surrender Pistol or Revolver Eligibility Certificate (CGS §29-36i), or
 - o Failure to Surrender Long Gun Eligibility Certificate (CGS §29-37s); or
 - Failure to Surrender Ammunition Certificate (CGS §29-38p); and
 - o The permit/certificate should be confiscated and immediately forwarded to the Commissioner of the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection. [CGS §29-32, §29-36, §29-37, §29-38]
- Any local issuing authority that revokes a permit must notify the Commissioner of the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection of the revocation, and any revocation of a state permit by the Commissioner of the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection requires notification of the local issuing authority. [CGS §29-32]

Seizure of Firearms at the location of a Family Violence Crime (Safekeeping Provision)

Whenever an officer makes an arrest for a family violence crime, the officer may seize any firearm, ammunition, or electronic defense weapon at the location where the crime is alleged to have been committed that is in the possession of the offender/suspect or that is in plain view. [CGS §46b-38b(a)]. Refer to CGS §53a-3 – Definition of Possession. Any firearm seized under this section must be returned in its original condition within seven (7) days to its rightful owner unless such person is ineligible to possess the firearm or unless otherwise ordered by the court. Any questions regarding the return of weapons seized under this section should promptly be directed to the state's attorney.

Use or Threatened Use of Weapon in a Family Violence Crime

In responding to family violence incidents, officers shall investigate and arrest in accordance with relevant Connecticut Statute §46b-38b. If an officer has probable cause to believe that a person used or threatened to use a weapon in the commission of any family violence crime(s) that person should be arrested for all appropriate crimes and the weapon should be seized as evidence of the crime(s).

Criminal Possession of a Firearm, Ammunition, Electronic Defense Weapon, Pistol or Revolver

Arrests for criminal possession...

- Any offender that knows that she/he is subject to a Restraining Order, (includes ex-parte order), Protective Order, Standing Criminal Protective Order or Foreign Order of Protection issued by the court, in a case involving the use, attempted use or threatened use of physical force against another person, or
- 2) has been convicted of a felony; or
- 3) has been convicted of a Misdemeanor committed on or after October 1, 1994 (pistol and revolvers); on or after October 1, 2013 (other firearms, ammunition, electronic defense weapons) as identified in CGS §53a-217 and §53a-217c; or
- 4) is subject to any other firearms prohibitions as defined in CGS §53-217 and §53a-217c;
- 5) is in possession of any firearm, ammunition, electronic defense weapon, pistol or revolver,
- should be arrested for Criminal Possession of a Firearm or Electronic Defense Weapon (CGS §53a-217) if found in possession of any firearm, ammunition, electronic defense weapon; or
- Criminal Possession of a Pistol or Revolver (CGS §53a-217c) if found in possession of any pistol or revolver; and
- The weapon(s) and/or ammunition should be seized as evidence of the crime.

Seizure of Firearms from Person Posing Risk to Self or Others (Risk Warrant)

A judge may issue a search and seizure warrant to search for and take custody of any firearms when any two officers (or any prosecutor) complain on oath that there is probable cause to believe that (1) a person poses a risk of imminent personal injury to him/herself or to other individuals, and (2) such person possesses one or more firearms, and (3) such firearm or firearms are within or upon any place, thing or person. [CGS §29-38c(a)]

Police officers should consider this option when investigating incidents of family violence.

XI. FEDERAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE LAWS

The possible or potential applicability of any of the federal family violence laws discussed in the following material does not preclude an officer's responsibility to comply with Connecticut's family violence laws and mandatory arrest provisions, as Connecticut and federal law can have concurrent jurisdiction.

The Federal Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) makes criminal certain actions in family violence situations. Several provisions of that Act which may arise during the investigation of family violence situations by Connecticut police officers are described below.

If an officer believes that a person may have violated a provision of VAWA, he/she should discuss the facts of the investigation with a supervisor and/or States Attorney for referral and review by an Assistant United States Attorney who will determine whether the situation warrants prosecution on federal charges.

The offices of the United States Attorney for the District of Connecticut are located at:

Office of the United States Attorney 157 Church Street New Haven, Connecticut 06508 (203) 821-3700

Summary of Applicable VAWA Sections

Full Faith and Credit: Title 18 USC §2265 and §2266

- Requires states and Indian tribes to enforce orders of protection issued by foreign states and Indian tribes as if the orders had been issued by the non-issuing, enforcing state or Indian tribe
- A valid order of protection is defined as an order of protection that was issued by a court with jurisdiction over the parties and matter under the laws of such state or Indian tribe and in circumstances where the defendant was given reasonable notice and the opportunity to be heard sufficient to protect the defendant's due process rights.
- The provision applies to any injunction or other order issued for the purpose of preventing
 violent or threatening acts or harassment against, or contact or communication with or
 physical proximity to, another person, including temporary and final protection orders
 issued by civil and criminal courts (other than support or child custody orders). In other
 words, it extends to temporary and final, civil and criminal orders of protection.
- The provision states that officers should enforce out-of-state orders of protection that are
 presented to them if the order appears valid on its face, i.e., it contains both parties' names
 and has not yet expired. The provision further states that even if the out-of-state order is
 uncertified, it should be enforced if it meets the requirements of facial validity.

Disposal, Receipt or Possession of a Firearm: Title 18 USC §922(d) and (g)

Section 922(d)(8) prohibits the knowing transfer of a firearm to a person who is subject to a court order that restrains the person from harassing, stalking, or threatening an intimate partner or child. Section 922(g)(8) prohibits the possession of a firearm by persons subject to a court order that restrains the person from harassing, stalking, or threatening an intimate partner of such person or child of such intimate partner or person, or engaging in other conduct that would place an intimate partner in reasonable fear of bodily injury to the partner or child.

Section 922(g)(9) prohibits the possession of a firearm or ammunition by any person who
has been convicted in any court of a family violence crime (a family violence crime that has,
as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force, or the threatened use of a deadly
weapon), including a misdemeanor family violence crime.

Interstate Domestic Violence: Title 18 USC §2261(a)(1)

• Prohibits the travel across state lines or the leaving or entering of Indian Territory with the intent (at the time of the crossing) to injure, harass, or intimidate a spouse or intimate partner. This provision is violated when a person, after the crossing, then intentionally commits a violent crime or causes a bodily injury.

Causing the Crossing of State Line by Force, Coercion, Duress, or Fraud: <u>Title 18 USC</u> §2261(a)(2)

Violation of this provision occurs when the defendant by force, coercion, duress or fraud, causes a spouse or intimate partner to cross state lines (or leave or enter Indian Territory) and in the course or as a result of that conduct, intentionally commits a crime of violence. Bodily injury to the victim is also required.

Interstate Stalking: Title 18 USC §2261A

Prohibits travel across a state line or within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction
of the United States with the intent to injure or harass another person, when in the course of,
or as a result of, such travel, the person is placed in reasonable fear of the death of, or
serious bodily injury to, that person or a member of that person's family.

Interstate Violation of a Protective Order: Title 18 USC §2262

• This provision is violated when a person travels across state lines or leaves or enters Indian territory with the intent to engage in conduct that (A) (i) violates the portion of a PO that protects against credible threats of violence, repeated harassment, or bodily injury; or (ii) would violate subparagraph (A) if the conduct occurred in the jurisdiction in which the PO was issued; and (B) subsequently engages in such conduct.

XI. COMPARISON OF ORDERS OF PROTECTION¹

Current to 2020

commanding, importuning, or intentionally aiding in the violation of the order; or (2) conspiracy to violate such order. No individual who is listed as a protected person on any order may be liable for: (1) soliciting, requesting,

		Prote	Protective Orders and Restraining Orders	and Restr	aini	ng Orders		
Type of Order		How the Order is Made	How Long the C	order Lasts	Pro	How Long the Order Lasts Provisions that May Be Included	Violations	
Protective Order (PO) (C.G.S. <u>\$46b-38c)</u> (C.G.S. <u>\$54-1k</u>)	A A A A A	Issued by a judge in a criminal case, usually at the time of arraignment. There is no cost to the victim. Victim may not want a PO or even know the PO has been issued. Offender, not the victim, is responsible for upholding order. Is a condition of the offender's release.	> Duration of the criminal court case. > Until criminal case ends > Judge may modify or terminate at any time, without victim knowing. > May continue during probation [CGS §53a-28(f)] > Check with Protection Order Registry	he criminal al case ends todify or any time, m knowing. e during GS §53a- Protection ry	A A A A A	harass, assault, molest, sexually assault molest, sexually assault or attack the protected person (partial/limited order). Offender must stay away from the protected person's home (full/ residential stay-away order). Offender to have NO CONTACT with victim. Offender to remain 100 yards away from victim. Offender to remain 100 yards away from victim. Order may extend to victim's minor children, but will usually not include custody orders. May include animals. Any other orders the court deems necessary to protect the safety of the victim and dependent children.	➤ Criminal Violation of a Protective Order [C.G.S. §53a-223] (D Felony) Unless violation includes imposing restraint on a person or their liberty, threatening, harassing, assault, sex assault, molestation, or attack of a victim (C Felony). ➤ Arrest for all other crimes for which there is probable cause (e.g., criminal trespass, harassment, threatening, burglary, assault, intimidating a witness, etc.). ➤ Criminal Trespass 1st [CGS §53a-107] if in violation of PO.	IC.G.S. lony) includes tt on a perty, ssing, ult, ttack of a). rt crimes s probable nal lent, lary, ing a iolation of

¹ The orders outlined in this chart are not mutually exclusive. A family violence victim could have more than one valid order from the same category or more than one valid order from multiple categories in effect at the same time (i.e. two protective orders, a protective order and a restraining order, etc.). Law enforcement must enforce the strictest provisions of any and all valid orders.

Restraining Order (C.G.S. \$53a-223b) (D Felony) Unless violation includes, imposing restraint on a person or their liberty, threatening, harassing, assault, sex assault, molestation or attack of victim (C Felony). Arrest for all other crimes for which there is probable cause (e.g., criminal trespass, harassment, threatening, burglary, assault, infimidating a witness, etc.). Criminal Trespass 1st [CGS \$53a-107] if in violation of RO. Victim also can file a Motion for Contempt in court where order was issued. Violation of financial conditions is NOT a criminal violation; explain option to file Motion for Contempt	Criminal Violation of a Standing Criminal Protective Order (C.G.S. §53a-223a) (D Felony)
Protective Orders (above). May include custody orders. May include financial conditions for spouse (ex)/dependent children, living together (i.e. utilities, insurance, mortgage, rent, support). Mod disposal of property, documents, keys, ID. Must surrender weapons immediately, but not later than 24 hours after notice. Must surrender permit/eligibility certificate within 5 days of notice.	P Offender not to threaten, harass, assault, molest, sexually assault or attack the
Bx-parte order lasts until day of hearing, which is within 14 days of date of issuance. 7 day hearing if firearms, permit, eligibility certificate disclosure. Marshal/PD service in hand when possible. At hearing, judge can extend the order for 1 year with possible extension beyond 1 year with possible extension beyond 1 year. If victim wants to extend order beyond initial 1 year term, must file a motion at least 12 days prior to expiration. Order will not end prior to the expiration date without the victim being notified. Check with Protection Order Registry.	> Orders issued prior to Oct. 2010 could last indefinitely.
 Victim files an "Application for Relief from Abuse" in the Family Division of Superior Court (civil court). Ex-parte order may be granted by judge. Hearing on order scheduled within 14 days. Victim must ensure that offender is "served" with notice of hearing. Offender, not the victim, is responsible for upholding order. There is no cost to the victim (for filing or service). 	> Issued by a criminal court judge at the time of sentencing.
Restraining Order (RO) (C.G.S. <u>\$46b-15</u>) Includes Ex-Parte order	

on a person or their liberty, threatening, harassing, assault, sex assault, molestation, or attack of victim (C Felony). Arrest for all other crimes for which there is probable cause (e.g., criminal trespass, harassment, threatening, burglary, assault, intimidating a witness, etc.). Criminal Trespass 1st [CGS §53a-107] if in violation of SCPO.	Foreign Order of Foreign Order of Protection (C.G.S. <u>§53a-</u> 223b) (D Felony) Unless the violation includes imposing restraint on a person or their liberty, threatening, harassing, assault, sex assault, molestation, or attack of victim (C Felony). Arrest for all other crimes for which there is probable cause (e.g., criminal trespass, harassment, threatening, burglary,
Fortunal/limited order). Offender must stay away from the protected person's home (full/residential stay-away order). Offender to have NO CONTACT with victim. Offender to remain 100 yards away from victim. Offender to relating. Any offer orders the court deems necessary to protect the safety of the victim and dependent children.	Entitled to enforcement in Connecticut where: Issued by courts of: (1) another state; (2) District of Columbia; (3) U.S. commonwealth, territory or possession; or (4) Indian tribe; Presume an order is valid if the content and form appear to be authentic (Full Faith & Credit). The order does NOT have to be a certified copy. May be criminal or civil. Conditions vary by issuing entity. Must surrender weapons immediately but not later than 24 hours after notice. Must surrender permit/eligibility certificate within 5 days of notice. A person may register a foreign order of protection in Connecticut, but is NOT required to do so, and law enforcement cannot refuse to enforce an order because the order does not appear in COLLECT, NCIC or the Protection Order Registry.
2010 shall remain in effect for any duration specified by the court at the time of sentencing. Orders can be modified and/or terminated without notice to or consent of the victim.	Entitled to enforcement in Connecticut where: Issued by courts of: (1) another state; (2) District of Columbia; (3) U.S. commonwealth, territory or possession; or (4) Indian tribe; Presume an order is valid if the content and form appear to be authentic (Full Fi The order does NOT have to be a certified copy. May be criminal or civil. Conditions vary by issuing entity. Must surrender weapons immediately but not later than 24 hours after notice. Must surrender permit/eligibility certificate within 5 days of notice. A person may register a foreign order of protection in Connecticut, but is NOT so, and law enforcement cannot refuse to enforce an order because the order do COLLECT, NCIC or the Protection Order Registry.
Violation of enumerated offenses; or Any crime the court determines to be family violence; or, Any other crime for good cause shown. No cost to victim. Victim may not want a SCPO or even know the SCPO has been issued.	■ Entitled to enforcement in Connecticut where: ■ Issued by courts of: (1) another state; (2) Di commonwealth, territory or possession; or (commonwealth, territory or possession; or (commonwealth, territory or possession; or (component of the content and form apport the order does NOT have to be a certified copy. May be criminal or civil. Conditions vary by issuing Must surrender weapons immediately but not later the Must surrender permit/eligibility certificate within 5 A person may register a foreign order of protection is so, and law enforcement cannot refuse to enforce an COLLECT, NCIC or the Protection Order Registry.
Protective Order (SCPO) (C.G.S. §53a-40e) AKA: Standing Criminal Restraining Order (pre- Oct. 1, 2010) Permanent Protective/ Restraining Order	Foreign Orders of Protection (C.G.S. <u>§46b-15a</u>)

Conditions of Release (COR) (C.G.S. §§ <u>53a-222.</u> , <u>53a-222a</u> , <u>54-63c</u> , <u>54-63d</u>)	
 A person charged with a family violence crime can be released with nonfinancial conditions of release² by: Law enforcement; Bail commissioner; or A judge. To verify: Check File 20; Contact clerk of court in JD/GA where order issued; Contact bail commissioner who released offender; Contact police department who released offender. 	
➤ COR imposed by bail commissioner or law enforcement remain(s) in effect until offender is presented to a judge at arraignment. ➤ COR imposed by a judge remain(s) in effect for the duration of the case or until further order of the court.	
Law Enforcement: Comply with specified restrictions on travel, association, or place of abode; Not engage in specified activities, including use/possession of dangerous instruments or possessing any deadly weapons, intoxicant, or controlled substance; Avoid all contact with alleged victim. Bail Commissioner Any of the above; plus Remain under supervision of designated person or organization; Any other condition reasonably necessary to ensure appearance of the person in court.	
> If re character conn first first first first first first map pers three assa mol victi victi victi character degg (Ar I relected formula pers first assa first assa assa mol victi victi victi victi victi assa mol victi victi victi victi victi assa massa mol victi	assau witne > Crim \$53a FOP. > May conta
If released on a felony charge: violation of conditions of release in the first degree (C.G.S. §53a-222). (D Felony) Unless violation includes imposing restraint on a person or their liberty, threatening, harassing, assault, sex assault, molestation or attack of victim (C Felony). If released on a misdemeanor charge: violation of conditions of release in the second degree (C.G.S. §53a-222a). (A misdemeanor) Unless violation includes imposing restraint on a person or their liberty, threatening, harassing, assault, sex assault, sex assault,	assault, intimidating a witness, etc.). Criminal Trespass 1st [CGS §53a-107] if in violation of FOP. May be federal violation—contact US Attorney

² No person shall be released upon the execution of written promise to appear or the execution of a bond without surety if the person is charged with the commission of a family violence crime and in the commission of such crime used or threatened the use of a firearm (C.G.S. § 54-63d).

Family Court Orders	Type of Order		Civil Protection Order (CPO) (CGS §46b-16a)	
Where custody/divorce actions are pending, the Family Court may issue orders that, while not a restraining order or protection order, will often mirror traditional provisions of those orders of protection, such as: kick out orders and/or	How the Order is Made		 Not for DV Cases Issued by civil judge. Victims of stalking, sex assault, sexual abuse. Service by marshal. Hearing within 14 days. 	
Family Court orders, unless they contain an expiration date, are valid until further order of the court.	How Long the Order Lasts	Other Orders	 Lasts up to one year. Victim requests order. Cannot have a PO for same incident before CPO. If victim wants to extend beyond one year, must file a motion at least 3 weeks prior to expiration. 	
 The victim should have a copy of the relevant order. Such orders may include, but are not limited to: Exclusive possession of an identified premises; Limitations on when and how one party may contact the other; 	Provisions that May Be Included		 Offender not to impose restraint on the person or their liberty, threaten, harass, assault, molest, sexually assault or attack the protected person. Offender cannot enter dwelling of protected person. 	 Judge: Any of the above; plus Any non-financial condition the judge deems appropriate; Compliance with Protective Order.
> Officers can, in some cases, make an arrest for the "behavior" targeted by the Order, such as an arrest for trespass, harassment, custodial interference, etc.	Violations		Criminal Violation of a Civil Protective Order (CGS §53a-223c) (D Felony)	molestation or attack of victim (C Felony). If, in the course of violating a COR, a person commits any other crime (i.e. threatening, intimidating a witness, assault, etc.), that person should be arrested for any other appropriate crime(s).

POLICE RESPONSE TO CRIMES OF FAMILY VIOLENCE CONNECTICUT STATEWIDE MODEL POLICY REV 12/2020

stay away orders, orders around exclusive possession of the home. While these orders are not technically Orders of Protection, they are valid orders of the conduct of the relevant parties.
■ Stay away orders — from a particular party or location.
■ Stay away orders — from a particular party or location.

RESOLUTION OF THE EAST HAMPTON TOWN COUNCIL

A Resolution Establishing The High School Athletic Fields Building Committee

WHEREAS, the Town Meeting of the Town of East Hampton adopted a Resolution modifying the scope of the 2013 High School Renovation, Improvements and Additions Project to specifically add replacement of the tennis court surface and synthetic track surface, correction of drainage issues on the soccer field, correction of water, drainage, grading issues and implementation of irrigation and grass replacement on the baseball field and other related work and improvements to the original project, and

WHEREAS, the resolution adopted by the Town Meeting authorized the Town Council to establish a building committee to determine scope and particulars of and implement the project to improve the athletic fields as described in the Resolution, and

WHEREAS, the Town Council has awarded a contract for professional services to support this project and it desires to utilize a building committee to perform the aforementioned work on the project.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED; the Town Council hereby creates the High School Athletic Fields Building Committee with seven (7) total members whose general charge shall be to develop plans for the proposed projects as outlined in the aforementioned modifying Resolution, to issue one or more RFP for the construction of the improvements and to evaluate the results of the RFP, make a recommendation to the Town Council for award of the High School Athletic Fields Project and to oversee the project to completion.

Membership shall be appointed by the Town Council with a term that will terminate upon completion and acceptance of the project or the cancellation of the project by the Council. The committee shall comprise seven (7) members to include a member of the Town Council, the Town Manager, the Parks and Recreation Director, the Facilities Director, the High School Athletic Director and two (2) additional members of the public who demonstrate knowledge or experience deemed beneficial to the execution of the project.

Ex officio members of the committee will include the Finance Director, a member of the Board of Finance, the Superintendent of Schools and a member of the Board of Education. Ex officio members will have full rights to participate in the meeting but will not have a vote. The Town Manager will budget for and supply staff for the committee to take notes and minutes of committee meetings.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, The Town Council has created the High School Athletic Fields Building Committee with the express intent to:

- 1. Develop final project scope within the funding available utilizing input from the public and other stakeholders;
- 2. Develop a formal Request for Proposal(RFP) for construction;
- 3. Issue, receive and review responses to the RFP;

4. Recommend a contract for award by the Town Council;

Approved this 12th day of January, 2021.

- 5. If approved, implement plans consistent with public approval through appropriate agents and Town inspectors;
- 6. Examine and approve all payments in connection with the construction of such project with the advice of the project architect;
- 7. Analyze and approve any change orders in the approved plans for such project within the limits of the total project appropriations with the express intent of completing the project on time and under budget while maintaining the original intent of the approved project;
- 8. Approve and accept the completed project subject to the advice of the project architect and the town's inspectors;
- 9. Report to the Town Council at least quarterly on implementation progress.

The High School Athletic Fields Building Committee created by this resolution shall cease and its membership be terminated without further action of the Town Council once the assigned project has been completed and turned over to the Town and Board of Education; provided, having that any and all insurance coverage applicable to the building committee and its members shall continue in accordance with the Town of East Hampton standard practices and policies.

Tr,	
TOWN COUNCIL	ATTEST
James Brown, Chairperson	Kelly Bilodeau, Town Clerk



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

OFFICE OF POLICY AND MANAGEMENT

Intergovernmental Policy and Planning Division

To: Municipal Chief Executive Officials

Municipal CRF Program COVID Contacts

Superintendents of Schools

Directors of Regional Councils of Governments

DEMHS Region Coordinators

From: Melissa N. McCaw, Secretary

Konstantinos Diamantis, Deputy Secretary

Date: December 16, 2020

RE: Municipal Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) Program

July 1 - December 30, 2020 Claim Period

To date the Office of Policy and Management (OPM) has reimbursed municipalities for all reported claims through June 30, 2020 for a total of approximately \$14.5 million from the Municipal Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) Program. Notably the actual incurred expenses through June 30th were significantly lower than municipalities originally estimated. Connecticut's Municipal CRF Program originally was structured to provide funds on a reimbursement basis after the processing of FEMA claims. Due to the time delays in FEMA claims processing, and to maximize support to our municipalities, OPM will be immediately providing a direct \$45.5 million allocation of the Municipal CRF Program funds to support municipalities costs for the period July 1 – December 30, 2020.

Please note: the eligible uses of this funding, which are detailed further in this communication, have been expanded to include public health and safety personnel costs.

Testing has been critical to slow the spread of coronavirus and mitigate its threat to public health, particularly for the most at-risk populations and our educational system. Therefore \$15 million of the \$75 million Municipal CRF Program allocation has been reserved to expand regional testing opportunities for teachers and staff to mitigate this expense for LEA's and municipalities and provide increased support for safe operations of our schools.

In summary, the Municipal CRF Program funds of \$75 million will be distributed as follows:

- Reimbursed Claims through June 30, 2020 \$14.5 million
- Final allocation with expanded usage \$45.5 million
- Regional testing opportunities for municipal teachers and staff \$15 million

The remaining Municipal CRF funds of \$45,498,170 will be allotted based on the population formula below. A town by town allotment listing is attached.

- 33% population density
- 33% population / Adjusted Equalized Net Grand List per Capita
- 34% population

Funding has been provided to all 169 municipalities. Health Districts, Special Tax Districts and Fire Districts need to work through their municipality for claiming any reimbursements against their Municipal CRF Program allotment,

Please refer to these website links for additional information on CRF:

- Coronavirus Relief Fund Overview
- Municipal CRF Program

Eligible Uses

Funding is being provided to cover the following:

COVID eligible expenses:

- Under federal law, eligible uses must meet three conditions. They must be:
 - "Necessary expenditures incurred due to the public health emergency with respect to...COVID-19"
 - Funds may not be used to substitute for lost revenue
 - Not budgeted as of March 27, 2020 when the CARES Act was enacted
 - May not supplant state or municipal spending
 - o Incurred on or after March 1, 2020, through December 30, 2020
- Please refer to previous documentation on eligible expenses at: https://portal.ct.gov/OPM/Coronavirus/Coronavirus-Relief-Fund/Municipal-CRF-Program

Public health and public safety personnel costs Guidance from Department of Treasury:

In recognition of the particular importance of public health and public safety
workers to State, local, and tribal government responses to the public health
emergency, Treasury has provided, as an administrative accommodation, that
a State, local, or tribal government may presume that public health and public
safety employees meet the substantially dedicated test, unless the chief

executive (or equivalent) of the relevant government determines that specific circumstances indicate otherwise. This means that, if this presumption applies, work performed by such employees is considered to be a substantially different use than accounted for in the most recently approved budget as of March 27, 2020. All costs of such employees may be covered using payments from the Fund for services provided during the period that begins on March 1, 2020, and ends on December 30, 2020.

- In response to questions regarding which employees are within the scope of this accommodation, Treasury is supplementing this guidance to clarify that public safety employees would include police officers (including state police officers), sheriffs and deputy sheriffs, firefighters, emergency medical responders, correctional and detention officers, and those who directly support such employees such as dispatchers and supervisory personnel. Public health employees would include employees involved in providing medical and other health services to patients and supervisory personnel, including medical staff assigned to schools, prisons, and other such institutions, and other support services essential for patient care (e.g., laboratory technicians) as well as employees of public health departments directly engaged in matters related to public health and related supervisory personnel.
- The guidance provides that funding may be used to meet payroll expenses for public safety, public health, health care, human services, and similar employees whose services are substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency. May Fund payments be used to cover such an employee's entire payroll cost or just the portion of time spent on mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency?

As a matter of administrative convenience, the entire payroll cost of an employee whose time is substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency is eligible, provided that such payroll costs are incurred by December 30, 2020. An employer may also track time spent by employees related to COVID-19 and apply Fund payments on that basis but would need to do so consistently within the relevant agency or department.

Testing:

• Through the state's Community Testing Program, all residents have robust access to no-cost COVID-19 testing through multiple hospital, community health center and other community pop-up sites. Turn-around-time for results through this program is averaging 72 hours or under. Some providers may recommend appointments or pre-registration to facilitate

expedited testing. State sponsored sites may be accessed via www.ct.gov/prioritytesting.

- The state is working with its contracted partners to provide priority access and extended hours for educators, school staff, and other critical workforce as needed. More information will be forthcoming in the coming weeks on this effort.
- CT DPH currently recommends the use of mitigation efforts to prevent COVID-19 transmission in schools. In addition, BinaxNOW rapid antigen tests are available at no cost to school districts and other organizations. This antigen test is generally for symptomatic individuals only; schools must also have appropriate staff, infection control measures and other measures in place to administer these tests on site. To date, many of our state's school districts and school based clinics have utilized these tests for symptomatic staff or students.

Enforcement of Executive Orders:

- As noted above, this funding can be used broadly to support public health and safety personnel and fringe costs and be substantially dedicated to support the COVID-19 public health emergency, thereby providing budget relief to support enforcement.
- Sector Rule enforcement is outlined in Governor Lamont's Executive Orders 7PP (issued 5/18/2020 authority for business closure), 9B (issued on 9/15/2020 authority for issuing fines) and 9N (issued on 11/24/2020 authority to issue \$10,000 Civil Penalties) allow local officials the ability to enforcement Sector Rules. Work to enforce the Sector Rules can be implemented by a variety of local officials including local health officials, local police departments, municipal designees, and other municipal officials. Sector Rule enforcement work can be supported by this funding.
- DPH along with DECD and DEMHS presented three webinars to educate local officials on Sector Rule enforcement. A Sector Rule enforcement toolbox was presented focused on local team building to practice progressive discipline to include items such as education, written warnings, business closure, liquor license summary suspension, consent orders, infraction ticketing, and \$10,000 civil penalty. Further, the State recently enacted an Enforcement Task Force including DPH, DECD, DCP, DEMHS, and Local Health Directors to focus on sharing guidance and best practices.

Disbursement of Funds

To streamline the process, municipalities will need to access the OPM Portal and complete a simple certification process. There will be no upload of transactional detail or FEMA acceptance letter for this claim period.

Municipalities, through their COVID Portal contact, will complete the web-based reimbursement and certification process. Instructions will be sent separately. Claims must be submitted no later than 5:00 PM Friday, December 18, 2020. Once OPM has reviewed and verified the certification, payment will be processed approximately within one week.

Audit Provisions and Documentation

Federal Coronavirus Relief Fund expenditures are subject to Single Audit by an Inspector General within the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Documenting that costs were eligible uses are essential to managing compliance risk and to minimizing the possibility that the costs are deemed ineligible, thereby requiring that the municipality and the state may need to return funds to the federal government.

We ask that you document costs clearly with respect to the date and nature of the expense incurred so that together we can best manage resources in the interests of the residents of Connecticut. In general, you should document expenses with the same specificity as for FEMA reimbursements.

For questions and additional information on the Municipal CRF Program, please contact Acting Undersecretary Martin Heft at martin.heft@ct.gov or 860.418.6355.

Thank you for your continued cooperation as we work together to protect the interests of all our residents.

CODE	MUNICIPALITY	CRF OPULATION FORMULA	CI	TOTAL MUNICIPAL RF AMOUNT 6.30 CLAIM AND FORMULA
1	Andover	\$ 24,648.00	\$	31,419.64
2	Ansonia	\$ 330,670.00	\$	376,258.68
3	Ashford	\$ 34,764.00	\$	72,487.98
4	Avon	\$ 121,355.00	\$	140,097.56
5	Barkhamsted	\$ 24,506.00	\$	52,165.00
6	Beacon Falls	\$ 53,936.00	\$	70,768.30
7	Berlin	\$ 154,817.00	\$	287,019.64
8	Bethany	\$ 35,450.00	\$	60,475.03
9	Bethel	\$ 167,447.00	\$	225,818.26
10	Bethlehem	\$ 22,858.00	\$	29,535.17
11	Bloomfield	\$ 171,292.00	\$	212,353.26
12	Bolton	\$ 34,614.00	\$	52,572.00
13	Bozrah	\$ 18,633.00	\$	19,476.46
14	Branford	\$ 228,413.00	\$	310,998.40
15	Bridgeport	\$ 4,508,787.00	\$	5,400,521.87
16	Bridgewater	\$ 8,425.00	\$	8,425.00
17	Bristol	\$ 773,176.00	\$	913,286.64
18	Brookfield	\$ 123,484.00	\$	341,200.32
19	Brooklyn	\$ 80,933.00	\$	83,433.00
20	Burlington	\$ 65,952.00	\$	87,903.49
21	Canaan	\$ 5,727.00	\$	5,727.00
22	Canterbury	\$ 44,781.00	\$	62,356.73
23	Canton	\$ 69,337.00	\$	131,558.54
24	Chaplin	\$ 18,576.00	\$	22,038.74
25	Cheshire	\$ 234,298.00	\$	362,872.26
26	Chester	\$ 28,398.00	\$	41,301.03
27	Clinton	\$ 99,987.00	\$	124,540.50
28	Colchester	\$ 126,769.00	\$	206,203.67
29	Colebrook	\$ 9,714.00	\$	9,714.00
30	Columbia	\$ 39,851.00	\$	75,931.72
31	Cornwall	\$ 7,054.00	\$	7,054.00
32	Coventry	\$ 95,227.00	\$	142,576.51
33	Cromwell	\$ 118,432.00	\$	150,113.65
34	Danbury	\$ 962,177.00	\$	1,157,937.31

25	D.	Φ.	1(0.000.00	ф	202 (00 22
35	Darien	\$	162,320.00	\$	202,600.33
36	Deep River	\$	31,248.00	\$	40,968.96
37	Derby	\$	182,874.00	\$	210,926.81
38	Durham	\$	47,723.00	\$	67,520.94
39	Eastford	\$	12,651.00	\$	23,138.31
40	East Granby	\$	35,438.00	\$	58,221.05
41	East Haddam	\$	62,617.00	\$	100,122.44
42	East Hampton	\$	96,841.00	\$	102,110.13
43	East Hartford	\$	811,063.00	\$	955,606.95
44	East Haven	\$	362,955.00	\$	424,577.53
45	East Lyme	\$	132,393.00	\$	169,188.11
46	Easton	\$	42,676.00	\$	44,902.61
47	East Windsor	\$	93,592.00	\$	175,090.36
48	Ellington	\$	126,835.00	\$	139,102.70
49	Enfield	\$	507,240.00	\$	507,240.00
50	Essex	\$	43,144.00	\$	54,380.94
51	Fairfield	\$	546,009.00	\$	673,537.48
52	Farmington	\$	179,547.00	\$	193,306.03
53	Franklin	\$	12,164.00	\$	12,164.00
54	Glastonbury	\$	234,504.00	\$	337,250.60
55	Goshen	\$	16,136.00	\$	31,039.48
56	Granby	\$	78,147.00	\$	112,277.31
57	Greenwich	\$	423,222.00	\$	423,222.00
58	Griswold	\$	118,441.00	\$	120,311.00
59	Groton	\$	367,847.00	\$	402,746.57
60	Guilford	\$	139,226.00	\$	237,885.73
61	Haddam	\$	53,324.00	\$	62,024.00
62	Hamden	\$	719,130.00	\$	753,522.79
63	Hampton	\$	14,194.00	\$	16,343.02
64	Hartford	\$	3,689,292.00	\$	4,837,338.66
65	Hartland	\$	15,314.00	\$	15,314.00
66	Harwinton	\$	36,860.00	\$	52,901.20
67	Hebron	\$	70,092.00	\$	82,324.62
68	Kent	\$	15,688.00	\$	26,254.34
69	Killingly	\$	163,781.00	\$	177,516.36
70	Killingworth	\$	41,150.00	\$	54,558.60
71	Lebanon	\$	52,956.00	\$	108,793.44
72	Ledyard	\$	120,186.00	\$	156,628.98
73	Lisbon	\$	32,164.00	\$	37,567.02
74	Litchfield	\$	51,052.00	\$	62,307.65
75	Lyme	\$	12,597.00	\$	18,730.31
L		<u> </u>			

76 Madison \$ 113,273.00 \$ 378,297.49 77 Manchester \$ 715,196.00 \$ 829,741.10 78 Mansfield \$ 293,080.00 \$ 414,849.67 79 Marlborough \$ 44,618.00 \$ 78,108.18 80 Meriden \$ 876,321.00 \$ 1,031,535.36 81 Middlebury \$ 52,077.00 \$ 61,269.00 82 Middlefield \$ 30,971.00 \$ 39,471.00 83 Middletown \$ 459,742.00 \$ 472,131.40 84 Milford \$ 569,259.00 \$ 955,051.07 85 Monroe \$ 142,336.00 \$ 178,394.50 86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92	-	T	1		1	
78 Mansfield \$ 293,080.00 \$ 414,849.67 79 Marlborough \$ 44,618.00 \$ 78,108.18 80 Meriden \$ 876,321.00 \$ 1,031,535.36 81 Middlebury \$ 52,077.00 \$ 61,269.00 82 Middlefield \$ 30,971.00 \$ 39,471.00 83 Middletown \$ 459,742.00 \$ 472,131.40 84 Milford \$ 569,259.00 \$ 955,051.07 85 Monroe \$ 142,336.00 \$ 178,394.50 86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95<	76	Madison	\$	113,273.00	\$	378,297.49
79 Marlborough \$ 44,618.00 \$ 78,108.18 80 Meriden \$ 876,321.00 \$ 1,031,535.36 81 Middlebury \$ 52,077.00 \$ 61,269.00 82 Middlefield \$ 30,971.00 \$ 39,471.00 83 Middletown \$ 459,742.00 \$ 472,131.40 84 Milford \$ 569,259.00 \$ 955,051.07 85 Monroe \$ 142,336.00 \$ 178,394.50 86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96<				715,196.00		·
80 Meriden \$ 876,321.00 \$ 1,031,535.36 81 Middlebury \$ 52,077.00 \$ 61,269.00 82 Middlefield \$ 30,971.00 \$ 39,471.00 83 Middletown \$ 459,742.00 \$ 472,131.40 84 Milford \$ 569,259.00 \$ 955,051.07 85 Monroe \$ 142,336.00 \$ 178,394.50 86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 </td <td>78</td> <td>Mansfield</td> <td></td> <td>293,080.00</td> <td></td> <td>414,849.67</td>	78	Mansfield		293,080.00		414,849.67
81 Middlebury \$ 52,077.00 \$ 61,269.00 82 Middlefield \$ 30,971.00 \$ 39,471.00 83 Middletown \$ 459,742.00 \$ 472,131.40 84 Milford \$ 569,259.00 \$ 955,051.07 85 Monroe \$ 142,336.00 \$ 178,394.50 86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 <td< td=""><td>79</td><td>Marlborough</td><td>\$</td><td>44,618.00</td><td>\$</td><td>78,108.18</td></td<>	79	Marlborough	\$	44,618.00	\$	78,108.18
82 Middlefield \$ 30,971.00 \$ 39,471.00 83 Middletown \$ 459,742.00 \$ 472,131.40 84 Milford \$ 569,259.00 \$ 955,051.07 85 Monroe \$ 142,336.00 \$ 178,394.50 86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51	80	Meriden		876,321.00		1,031,535.36
83 Middletown \$ 459,742.00 \$ 472,131.40 84 Milford \$ 569,259.00 \$ 955,051.07 85 Monroe \$ 142,336.00 \$ 178,394.50 86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 <td>81</td> <td>Middlebury</td> <td>\$</td> <td>52,077.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>61,269.00</td>	81	Middlebury	\$	52,077.00	\$	61,269.00
84 Milford \$ 569,259.00 \$ 955,051.07 85 Monroe \$ 142,336.00 \$ 178,394.50 86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 10	82	Middlefield	\$	30,971.00	\$	39,471.00
85 Monroe \$ 142,336.00 \$ 178,394.50 86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 <t< td=""><td>83</td><td>Middletown</td><td>\$</td><td>459,742.00</td><td>\$</td><td>472,131.40</td></t<>	83	Middletown	\$	459,742.00	\$	472,131.40
86 Montville \$ 184,778.00 \$ 235,434.01 87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48	84	Milford	\$	569,259.00	\$	955,051.07
87 Morris \$ 13,736.00 \$ 14,834.24 88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 <tr< td=""><td>85</td><td>Monroe</td><td>\$</td><td>142,336.00</td><td>\$</td><td>178,394.50</td></tr<>	85	Monroe	\$	142,336.00	\$	178,394.50
88 Naugatuck \$ 414,702.00 \$ 504,490.37 89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17	86	Montville	\$	184,778.00	\$	235,434.01
89 New Britain \$ 1,911,462.00 \$ 2,083,279.52 90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05	87	Morris	\$	13,736.00	\$	14,834.24
90 New Canaan \$ 121,734.00 \$ 317,571.62 91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwalk \$ 1,21,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 573,081.05	88	Naugatuck	\$	414,702.00	\$	504,490.37
91 New Fairfield \$ 99,826.00 \$ 114,393.82 92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 <t< td=""><td>89</td><td>New Britain</td><td>\$</td><td>1,911,462.00</td><td>\$</td><td>2,083,279.52</td></t<>	89	New Britain	\$	1,911,462.00	\$	2,083,279.52
92 New Hartford \$ 47,508.00 \$ 47,508.00 93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 573,081.05 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 <t< td=""><td>90</td><td>New Canaan</td><td>\$</td><td>121,734.00</td><td>\$</td><td>317,571.62</td></t<>	90	New Canaan	\$	121,734.00	\$	317,571.62
93 New Haven \$ 3,120,837.00 \$ 3,198,904.90 94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 <	91	New Fairfield	\$	99,826.00	\$	114,393.82
94 Newington \$ 338,611.00 \$ 342,825.46 95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06	92	New Hartford	\$	47,508.00	\$	47,508.00
95 New London \$ 599,267.00 \$ 840,144.49 96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 <	93	New Haven	\$	3,120,837.00	\$	3,198,904.90
96 New Milford \$ 199,836.00 \$ 272,327.90 97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 <t< td=""><td>94</td><td>Newington</td><td>\$</td><td>338,611.00</td><td>\$</td><td>342,825.46</td></t<>	94	Newington	\$	338,611.00	\$	342,825.46
97 Newtown \$ 188,713.00 \$ 419,165.51 98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113	95	New London	\$	599,267.00	\$	840,144.49
98 Norfolk \$ 9,462.00 \$ 9,462.00 99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114	96	New Milford	\$	199,836.00	\$	272,327.90
99 North Branford \$ 110,877.00 \$ 145,257.46 100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 89,126.18	97	Newtown	\$	188,713.00	\$	419,165.51
100 North Canaan \$ 25,740.00 \$ 34,387.53 101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	98	Norfolk	\$	9,462.00	\$	9,462.00
101 North Haven \$ 194,777.00 \$ 248,645.72 102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	99	North Branford	\$	110,877.00	\$	145,257.46
102 North Stonington \$ 37,728.00 \$ 56,240.48 103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	100	North Canaan	\$	25,740.00	\$	34,387.53
103 Norwalk \$ 1,121,736.00 \$ 1,731,443.17 104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	101	North Haven	\$	194,777.00	\$	248,645.72
104 Norwich \$ 545,972.00 \$ 573,081.05 105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	102	North Stonington	\$	37,728.00	\$	56,240.48
105 Old Lyme \$ 42,557.00 \$ 42,557.00 106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	103	Norwalk	\$	1,121,736.00	\$	1,731,443.17
106 Old Saybrook \$ 64,377.00 \$ 229,749.19 107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	104	Norwich	\$	545,972.00	\$	573,081.05
107 Orange \$ 97,395.00 \$ 97,395.00 108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	105	Old Lyme	\$	42,557.00	\$	42,557.00
108 Oxford \$ 94,687.00 \$ 94,687.00 109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	106	Old Saybrook	\$	64,377.00	\$	229,749.19
109 Plainfield \$ 157,317.00 \$ 163,317.00 110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	107	Orange	\$	97,395.00	\$	97,395.00
110 Plainville \$ 200,192.00 \$ 261,533.06 111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	108	Oxford	\$	94,687.00	\$	94,687.00
111 Plymouth \$ 115,574.00 \$ 163,120.32 112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	109	Plainfield	\$	157,317.00	\$	163,317.00
112 Pomfret \$ 29,544.00 \$ 36,146.46 113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	110	Plainville	\$	200,192.00	\$	261,533.06
113 Portland \$ 66,682.00 \$ 85,766.65 114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	111	Plymouth	\$	115,574.00	\$	163,120.32
114 Preston \$ 36,759.00 \$ 149,041.61 115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	112	Pomfret	\$	29,544.00	\$	36,146.46
115 Prospect \$ 80,243.00 \$ 89,126.18	113	Portland	\$	66,682.00	\$	85,766.65
 	114	Preston	\$	36,759.00	\$	149,041.61
116 Putnam \$ 95,334.00 \$ 111,599.10	115	Prospect	\$	80,243.00	\$	89,126.18
	116	Putnam	\$	95,334.00	\$	111,599.10

117 Redding \$ 50,478.00 \$ 57,478.00 118 Ridgefield \$ 153,659.00 \$ 558,993.72 119 Rocky Hill \$ 180,612.00 \$ 205,204.05 120 Roxbury \$ 10,629.00 \$ 23,656.91 121 Salem \$ 28,237.00 \$ 44,888.03 122 Salisbury \$ 16,758.00 \$ 16,758.00 123 Scotland \$ 14,747.00 \$ 23,234.33 124 Seymour \$ 165,736.00 \$ 209,598.96 125 Sharon \$ 12,815.00 \$ 12,815.00 126 Shelton \$ 350,621.00 \$ 359,929.21 127 Sherman \$ 18,726.00 \$ 19,196.56 128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Staff						
119	117	Redding	\$	50,478.00	\$	57,478.00
120 Roxbury \$ 10,629.00 \$ 23,656.91 121 Salem \$ 28,237.00 \$ 44,888.03 122 Salisbury \$ 16,758.00 \$ 16,758.00 123 Scotland \$ 14,747.00 \$ 23,234.33 124 Seymour \$ 165,736.00 \$ 209,598.96 125 Sharon \$ 12,815.00 \$ 12,815.00 126 Shelton \$ 350,621.00 \$ 359,929.21 127 Sherman \$ 18,726.00 \$ 19,196.56 128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 Southington \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 17,647.00 137 St			-	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
121 Salem \$ 28,237.00 \$ 44,888.03 122 Salisbury \$ 16,758.00 \$ 16,758.00 123 Scotland \$ 14,747.00 \$ 23,234.33 124 Seymour \$ 165,736.00 \$ 209,598.96 125 Sharon \$ 12,815.00 \$ 12,815.00 126 Shelton \$ 350,621.00 \$ 359,929.21 127 Sherman \$ 18,726.00 \$ 19,196.56 128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 Southington \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 16,34,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Rocky Hill</td><td>-</td><td>180,612.00</td><td>_</td><td>205,204.05</td></t<>		Rocky Hill	-	180,612.00	_	205,204.05
122 Salisbury \$ 16,758.00 \$ 16,758.00 123 Scotland \$ 14,747.00 \$ 23,234.33 124 Seymour \$ 165,736.00 \$ 209,598.96 125 Sharon \$ 12,815.00 \$ 12,815.00 126 Shelton \$ 350,621.00 \$ 359,929.21 127 Sherman \$ 18,726.00 \$ 19,196.56 128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 Southington \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138			1	10,629.00		23,656.91
123 Scotland \$ 14,747.00 \$ 23,234.33 124 Seymour \$ 165,736.00 \$ 209,598.96 125 Sharon \$ 12,815.00 \$ 12,815.00 126 Shelton \$ 350,621.00 \$ 359,929.21 127 Sherman \$ 18,726.00 \$ 19,196.56 128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 Southington \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139	121	Salem		28,237.00	\$	44,888.03
124 Seymour \$ 165,736.00 \$ 209,598.96 125 Sharon \$ 12,815.00 \$ 12,815.00 126 Shelton \$ 350,621.00 \$ 359,929.21 127 Sherman \$ 18,726.00 \$ 19,196.56 128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 Southwindsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 <td>122</td> <td>Salisbury</td> <td>\$</td> <td>16,758.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>16,758.00</td>	122	Salisbury	\$	16,758.00	\$	16,758.00
125 Sharon \$ 12,815.00 \$ 12,815.00 126 Shelton \$ 350,621.00 \$ 359,929.21 127 Sherman \$ 18,726.00 \$ 19,196.56 128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142	123	Scotland	\$	14,747.00	\$	23,234.33
126 Shelton \$ 350,621.00 \$ 359,929.21 127 Sherman \$ 18,726.00 \$ 19,196.56 128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 Southington \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 <td>124</td> <td>Seymour</td> <td>\$</td> <td>165,736.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>209,598.96</td>	124	Seymour	\$	165,736.00	\$	209,598.96
127 Sherman \$ 18,726.00 \$ 19,196.56 128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 Southington \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 <td>125</td> <td>Sharon</td> <td>\$</td> <td>12,815.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>12,815.00</td>	125	Sharon	\$	12,815.00	\$	12,815.00
128 Simsbury \$ 181,098.00 \$ 207,901.52 129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 Southington \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 1	126	Shelton	\$	350,621.00	\$	359,929.21
129 Somers \$ 92,385.00 \$ 110,426.24 130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 Southington \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 1	127	Sherman	\$	18,726.00	\$	19,196.56
130 Southbury \$ 139,762.00 \$ 139,762.00 131 South Windsor \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146	128	Simsbury	\$	181,098.00	\$	207,901.52
131 Southington \$ 396,718.00 \$ 528,257.03 132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147	129	Somers	\$	92,385.00	\$	110,426.24
132 South Windsor \$ 205,974.00 \$ 533,149.32 133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148	130	Southbury	\$	139,762.00	\$	139,762.00
133 Sprague \$ 28,060.00 \$ 71,447.23 134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149	131	Southington	\$	396,718.00	\$	528,257.03
134 Stafford \$ 109,759.00 \$ 118,398.94 135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150	132	South Windsor	\$	205,974.00	\$	533,149.32
135 Stamford \$ 1,488,433.00 \$ 1,634,335.99 136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151	133	Sprague	\$	28,060.00	\$	71,447.23
136 Sterling \$ 37,774.00 \$ 37,774.00 137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152	134	Stafford	\$	109,759.00	\$	118,398.94
137 Stonington \$ 117,943.00 \$ 204,857.06 138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153	135	Stamford	\$	1,488,433.00	\$	1,634,335.99
138 Stratford \$ 653,791.00 \$ 885,207.66 139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154	136	Sterling	\$	37,774.00	\$	37,774.00
139 Suffield \$ 117,343.00 \$ 197,835.19 140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155	137	Stonington	\$	117,943.00	\$	204,857.06
140 Thomaston \$ 73,567.00 \$ 80,225.52 141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 <td>138</td> <td>Stratford</td> <td>\$</td> <td>653,791.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>885,207.66</td>	138	Stratford	\$	653,791.00	\$	885,207.66
141 Thompson \$ 82,283.00 \$ 92,087.94 142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	139	Suffield	\$	117,343.00	\$	197,835.19
142 Tolland \$ 107,013.00 \$ 135,670.12 143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	140	Thomaston	\$	73,567.00	\$	80,225.52
143 Torrington \$ 396,229.00 \$ 528,508.48 144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	141	Thompson	\$	82,283.00	\$	92,087.94
144 Trumbull \$ 308,163.00 \$ 462,097.05 145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	142	Tolland	\$	107,013.00	\$	135,670.12
145 Union \$ 4,806.00 \$ 6,323.49 146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	143	Torrington	\$	396,229.00	\$	528,508.48
146 Vernon \$ 344,225.00 \$ 417,611.21 147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	144	Trumbull	\$	308,163.00	\$	462,097.05
147 Voluntown \$ 20,807.00 \$ 35,199.00 148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	145	Union	\$	4,806.00	\$	6,323.49
148 Wallingford \$ 395,309.00 \$ 627,624.79 149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	146	Vernon	\$	344,225.00	\$	417,611.21
149 Warren \$ 7,244.00 \$ 12,319.10 150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	147	Voluntown	\$	20,807.00	\$	35,199.00
150 Washington \$ 16,217.00 \$ 43,008.13 151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	148	Wallingford	\$	395,309.00	\$	627,624.79
151 Waterbury \$ 2,534,274.00 \$ 4,875,633.01 152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	149	Warren	\$	7,244.00	\$	12,319.10
152 Waterford \$ 126,003.00 \$ 232,002.88 153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	150	Washington	\$	16,217.00	\$	43,008.13
153 Watertown \$ 185,039.00 \$ 225,276.60 154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	151	Waterbury	\$	2,534,274.00	\$	4,875,633.01
154 Westbrook \$ 40,669.00 \$ 280,104.87 155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	152	Waterford	\$	126,003.00	\$	232,002.88
155 West Hartford \$ 701,978.00 \$ 1,009,406.91 156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	153	Watertown	\$	185,039.00	\$	225,276.60
156 West Haven \$ 1,150,257.00 \$ 1,150,257.00	154	Westbrook	\$	40,669.00	\$	280,104.87
	155	West Hartford	\$	701,978.00	\$	1,009,406.91
157 Weston \$ 57,498.00 \$ 88,411.89	156	West Haven	\$	1,150,257.00	\$	1,150,257.00
	157	Weston	\$	57,498.00	\$	88,411.89

158	Westport	\$	196,354.00	\$	325,128.72
159	Wethersfield	\$	284,373.00	\$	346,911.82
160	Willington	\$	46,324.00	\$	65,364.19
161	Wilton	\$	108,440.00	\$	324,692.48
162	Winchester	\$	101,602.00	\$	127,488.10
163	Windham	\$	484,350.00	\$	628,238.42
164	Windsor	\$	241,114.00	\$	343,916.79
165	Windsor Locks	\$	119,042.00	\$	138,756.59
166	Wolcott	\$	153,423.00	\$	178,372.21
167	Woodbridge	\$	56,702.00	\$	126,800.60
168	Woodbury	\$	61,800.00	\$	95,513.31
169	Woodstock	\$	57,050.00	\$	85,953.18
	TOTALS	\$4	5,498,170.00	\$ 5	9,999,998.66

Caso's HVAC, LLC

Heating/Cooling/Installation/Service Ct License # S1-394141 East Hampton, Ct 06424 Telephone/Fax #860-267-5851

PROPOSAL

Submitted to: East Hampton Fire Department Date of proposal: 9/21/2020

Barton hill rd

East Hampton ,Ct 06424 Job: Barton Hill Co.1

Installation of Ductless Heat pumps

- 3 -Mitsubishi Ductless split systems
- 1- Mitsubishi M# MXZ-8C60NA2 outdoor Heat pump
- 1- Mitsubishi Branch Box M# PAC-MKA32BC (located above ceiling near rear of bld)
- 1- Mitsubishi indoor wall unit M# MSZ-D36NA (Meeting room)
- 2-Mitsubishi indoor wall unit M# MSZ-GL12NA (server room & chiefs office)
- Outdoor unit Rear of building set on stand and a pad
- Cover with refrigeration and drain with line hide
- 3 condensate pumps Drain to exterior
- Line set and drains will run above ceiling
- Pressure test with nitrogen Start system and adjust as needed
- All manufacturers warranties apply
- 1 year labor warranty, electrical not included

Total Cost: \$20,136.00 No Tax inc

PAYMENT:

50% Deposit due to start job,25% upon equipment arrival, remaining due upon completion.

NOTE: This proposal may be withdrawn by us if not accepted within 10 days

COLLECTION COST: Buyer agrees to pay the seller all collection costs including attorney's fees, court cost and other costs involved in the collection of past due accounts. You may cancel this transaction at anytime prior to midnight of the third day after the date of acceptance.

ACCEPTANCE OF THIS PROPOSAL: The above price, specifications and conditions are satisfactory and are hereby accepted. You are authorized to do the work as specified. Payment will be made as outlined above.

Date of acceptance:	Signature:
Date of acceptance	Digitature

Tech Unlimited LLC

10 Starr Place East Hampton, CT 06424

Estimate

Date		Estimate #	
	9/8/2020	197	

Name / Address

East Hampton Fire Department 3 Barton Hill Rd. East Hampton, CT 06424

P.O. No.	Terms

Description	Qty	Rate	Total
Mitsubishi Model# MSY-GL24NA-U1 Wall evaporator (2	0.00	
Meeting room units)	2	0.00	0.0
Aitsubishi Model# MUY-GL12NA-U1 condenser	2	0.00	
Aitsubishi Model#MSY-GL09NA-U1 Wall evaporator (Chiefs	1	0.00	0.0
ffice)	1	0.00	0.0
Mitsubishi Model#GL09NA-U2 Condenser	1	0.00	
Mitsubishi Model#PKA-A12HA7 Wall evaporator (Server	1	0.00	0.0
Room)	1	0.00	0.0
Mitsubishi MOdel#PUY-A12NKA7 condenser			
ed link control	1	0,00	0.0
ow ambient Wind baffle	1	0.00	0.0
ne sets	1	0.00	0.00
ontrol wire	4	0.00	0.0
vall brackets	4	0.00	0.00
	4	0.00	0.00
Price to install 2 - 24,000BTU split systems in meeting room, 1		18,600.00	18,600.00
9000BTU unit for chiefs office 1 - 12,000BTU unit in server			20,000.00
oom	B		
Price include communication wire no electrical			
	1. A. J. M.		
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	Su	btotal	\$18,600.00

\$18,600.00

Sales Tax (6.35%) \$0.00

Total \$18,600.00

Tech Unlimited LLC

10 Starr Place East Hampton, CT 06424

Estimate

Date	Estimate #	
9/8/2020	196	

Name / Address		
Cobalt Fire Department 366 West High St.		
East Hampton, CT 06424		

P.O. No.	Terms	
		-

Description	Qty	Rate	Total
Mitsubishi Model# MSY-GL24NA-U1 Wall evaporator Mitsubishi Model# MUY-GL24NA-U1 condenser 2 line sets 2 wall brackets 14/4 armor cable 50 ft roles Price to install 2 24.000BTU split system. price does not include electrical work.	2 2 2 2 2 2	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 10,600.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 10,600.00
		Subtotal	\$10,600.00
		Sales Tax (6.35%)	\$0.00
		Total	\$10,600.00

1 of 2

T & S HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING LLC.

7 BEL AIRE TERRACE PORTLAND, CT. 06480 (860) 342-1506 LIC. # S1 390378

CUSTOMER: East Hampton Fire Department October 15, 2020

JOB: 3 Barton Hill Road, East Hampton Ct. 06424

WE HEREBY SUBMIT SPECIFICATIONS AND ESTIMATES FOR THE INSTALLATION OF THE FOLLOWING: MITSUBISHI DUCTLESS SPLIT HEAT PUMPS NON-HYPERHEAT TO PROVIDE AIR CONDITIONING AND HEAT FOR REC. ROOM

EQUIPMENT:

- 1- MITSUBISHI MUZ-GL18NA-U1 CONDENSER TO BE PLACED RIGHT SIDE OF BUILDING
- 1- MITSUBISHI MSZ-GL-MA-U1 WALL EVAPORATOR 18,000 BTU'S
- 1- WIRELESS MHK2 REMOTE CONTROL WALL MOUNTED THERMOSTAT (upgrade)
- 1- ASPEN CONDENSATE PUMPS
- 1- PAD/STAND
- 1- 1/4 X 1/2X50' 1/2" LINESET
- 1- 50 FT .ROLL OF 14/4 STRANDED COMMUNICATING CABLE
 TO INCLUDE ALL NECESSARY BROWN TRIM DUCT
 AND FITTINGS
 INCLUDES MECHANICAL PERMIT

T&S ASSUMES NO LIABILITY FOR STYRO STUCCO ON OUTSIDE OF BUILDING DUE TO AGE/CONDITION OF MATERIAL

PIPING: INCLUDES ALL NECESSARY PIPING AND FITTINGS TO COMPLETE INSTALLATION **ELECTRICAL**: NOT INCLUDED, TO BE DONE BY CUSTOMER'S ELECTRICIAN

The price Installed: \$ 7,550.00 - Sales Tax:\$ 479.43 - TOTAL: \$ 8,029.43

Note: If tax exempt a tax exempt certificate will have to be provided.

The Purchaser promises and agrees to pay for the equipment described above in full on the following terms and in accordance with The conditions set forth on the reverse side of this form. In addition the purchaser promises and agrees to pay all collection, attorney and interest fees associated with non-payment of this contract. *Customer must register all equipment with manufacturer within 60 Days completion of installation for all manufacturer warranties to apply.*

TERMS: \$50% DUE UPON SIGNING OF CON \$ REMAINING BALANCE DUE UPO	
\$ REWAINING BALANCE DUE OF	ON COMILETION OF JOB
ACCEPTANCE DATE:	PURCHASER:
	East Hampton Fire Department

SELLER: T & S HEATING AND AIRCONDITIONING

CONTRACT MUST BE SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH IN 30 DAYS TO GUARANTEE PRICES

T & S HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING LLC.

2 of 2

7 BEL AIRE TERRACE PORTLAND, CT. 06480 (860) 342-1506 LIC. # S1 390378

CUSTOMER: East Hampton Fire Department July 30, 2020

JOB: 3 Barton Hill Road, East Hampton Ct. 06424

WE HEREBY SUBMIT SPECIFICATIONS AND ESTIMATES FOR THE INSTALLATION OF THE FOLLOWING: MITSUBISHI DUCTLESS SPLIT HEAT PUMPS NON-HYPERHEAT TO PROVIDE AIR CONDITIONING AND HEAT FOR CHIEF'S OFFICE, SERVER/STORAGE ROOM & MEETING ROOM

EQUIPMENT:

- 1- MITSUBISHI MXZ-5C42NA2-U1 CONDENSER TO BE PLACED REAR OF BUILDING
- 1- MITSUBISHI MSZ-GL09NA-U1 WALL EVAPORATOR 9,000 BTU'S (Chief's office)
- 1- MITSUBISHI MSZ-GL12NA-U1 WALL EVAPORATOR 12,000 BTU'S (server/storage room)
- 1- MITSUBISHI MSZ-GL24NA-U1 WALL EVAPORATOR 24,000 BTU'S (meeting room)
- 3- WIRELESS MHK2 REMOTE CONTROL WALL MOUNTED THERMOSTAT (upgrade)
- 3- ASPEN CONDENSATE PUMPS
- 1- PAD/STAND
- 1- 3/8 X 5/8 X50' 1/2" LINESET
- 2- 1/4 x 3/8 x 50' 1/2" LINESET
- 1- 250 FT .ROLL OF 14/4 STRANDED COMMUNICATING CABLE TO INCLUDE ALL NECESSARY BROWN TRIM DUCT AND FITTINGS INCLUDES MECHANICAL PERMIT

PIPING: INCLUDES ALL NECESSARY PIPING AND FITTINGS TO COMPLETE INSTALLATION **ELECTRICAL**: NOT INCLUDED, TO BE DONE BY CUSTOMER'S ELECTRICIAN

The price Installed: \$ 14,350.00

Sales Tax:\$ 911.23

TOTAL: \$ 15,261.23

Total Project Cost = \$21,900

Note: If tax exempt a tax exempt certificate will have to be provided.

The Purchaser promises and agrees to pay for the equipment described above in full on the following terms and in accordance with The conditions set forth on the reverse side of this form. In addition the purchaser promises and agrees to pay all collection, attorney and interest fees associated with non-payment of this contract. *Customer must register all equipment with manufacturer within 60 Days completion of installation for all manufacturer warranties to apply.*

TERMS:	\$ 50% DUE UPON SIGNING	3 OF CONTRACT	
	\$ REMAINING BALANCE	DUE UPON COMPLETIO	N OF JOB
ACCEPT	TANCE DATE:	PURCHASER:	
			East Hampton Fire Department

SELLER: T & S HEATING AND AIRCONDITIONING

CONTRACT MUST BE SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH IN 30 DAYS TO GUARANTEE PRICES



Office of the COLLECTOR OF REVENUE
KRISTY MERRIFIELD, CCMC
kmerrifield@easthamptonct.gov

January 5, 2021

To: The East Hampton Town Council,

The documentation for the tax refunds listed below is available in the Office of the Collector of Revenue for your review. There are six (6) refunds totaling \$1,287.25.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kristy L. Merrifield, CCMC

Collector of Revenue

	0.	CL
	361.39	+
	159.80	+
	95.74	+
	128-91	+
	373.49	+
	167-92	+
006		
	1,287.25	TL+

BOARD AND COMMISSION SUMMARY NOVEMBER 2020

Arts & Culture Commission

The Arts & Culture Commission met on November 19 via Zoom. Mrs. Sennett reported on the Town Hall Grand Opening noting it was a fabulous day and there is a large space for the East Hampton Art Association Gallery on the 2nd floor. There is also a space for student artwork outside the Board of Education suite. The Capstone grant and the community grants are still available. The members approved the 2021 meeting dates.

Board of Finance

The Board of Finance met on November 16 via Zoom. A motion was made and passed for modifications to the High School Renovations, Improvements and Additions project to include work on the baseball field, tennis court and track. Mr. Jylkka reviewed revenues and expenditures. There was discussion about meeting with the Board of Education regarding the budget.

Brownfields Redevelopment Agency

The Brownfields Redevelopment Agency met on November 23 via Zoom. The members received updates and discussed the topics of 1 Watrous Street and 13 Watrous Street/DECD STEAP Grant under continued business. There was a brief update for the new building at St Clements on the Oakum Dock side of the property. The members planned the information they want to use in the presentation to the Town Council. The 2021 meeting dates were approved.

Clean Energy Task Force

No meeting

Commission on Aging

The Commission on Aging met on November 12 via Zoom. Commission members received an update from Ms. Ewing on the activities being arranged by the Senior Center. Dr. Rosenberg reached out to a colleague about the use of a list of emergency notifications for primary care and it was noted the primary care doctors don't utilize such a list. Eversource will take a form from a doctor to not turn off power for non-payment due to medical reasons but does not utilize that list during natural disaster situations. The members approved the 2021 meeting dates. The commission would like to look into working closer with the Housing Authority.

Conservation-Lake Commission

The Conservation-Lake Commission met on November 12 via Zoom. A presentation from In-Situ explained the different equipment and tools from the company for lake data retrieval and analysis. Some of the tools are a water quality observation, water level measurement tool, data logger, and telemetry reader tool. The results are uploaded into a corresponding computer software. The members decided to do more research. There were four plan reviews for the members to discuss and approve. There was discussion for the budget, the watershed project/federal funding, the Lake Smart Program, and the Lake Level. The meeting dates for 2021 were approved. The members were told about a webinar

on November 30 from 7-9 on how to deal with hazardous algae blooms. Mr. Carbonell will send an invite for the webinar to the members.

Design Review Board

The Design Review Board met on November 19 via Zoom. Members reviewed a plan for signage at 100 Main Street for the new Gulf gas station. The plan was rejected as the signs are not in conformance with the Village Center district and do not comply with the Zoning code. Members approved the 2021 meeting dates.

Economic Development Commission

The Economic Development Commission met on November 17 via Zoom. Members received an update on the East Hampton Eats campaign. Members reviewed the Planning & Zoning minutes and home-based businesses were discussed. It was noted there are no provisions in the code for home-based businesses and there is a need for a reasonable regulation. The new business banner will go to Willow next. The Belltown Spotlight on Business will go to CZ Equipment next. Ideas for a project at the Main Street bridge was briefly discussed. Members approved the 2021 meeting dates. Town Council member Dean Markham commented that the EDC does not have a charge or policy direction and suggested they put something together.

Ethics Commission

No meeting

Fire Commission

The Fire Commission met on November 17 via Zoom. The company wants to renumber Engine 2-12 and it will cost \$600. Both the Fire Marshal and Fire Chief reports were given. The members briefly discussed the topics of the dry hydrants follow up, the AC upgrade status, the software migration progress, and the background screening in-house process.

Inland Wetland Watercourses Agency

The Inland Wetland Watercourses Agency met on November 18 via Zoom. Continued Applications:

- A. IW-20-025: Joseph Perko, 501 Moodus Road, to construct a 20' x 45' 2 story outbuilding within the Upland Review Area. Map 15/Block 28A/Lot 1. Approved
- B. IW-20-026: Steven Phillips, 16 Maplewood Dr., to install a 16' x 32' in ground pool within the Upland Review Area. Map 19/Block 39A/Lot 10A-4. Approved
- C. IW-20-027: Robert B. Wallace, 4 Lakewood Rd., demolition of existing cottage within the Upland Review Area. Map 03A/Block 44/Lot C-67. Approved
- D. IW-20-028: Bryson Hyte, 202 Lake Drive, to install an 18'x37'x29' pool and to relocate and rebuild an existing retaining wall within the Upland Review Area. Map 09A/Block 70B/Lot 17A. Approved
- E. IW-20-030: Kevin Herrick, 49 Bay Rd., to restore existing stone wall by lake and install a new pervious paver patio and seat wall. Map 09A/Block 70/Lot 33. Approved

F. IW-20-031: Tony Flannery, 101 Main St., clear brush, trees and stumps. Add gravel and top with millings. Remove UG fuel tank. Install a wash bay for the existing recycling yard operation. Work is within the upland review area. Map 06A/Block 57/Lot 2. Approved

New Applications:

- A. IW-20-032: Aquarian Water Company of CT, 49 Lakewood Road, to add an addition to the existing water treatment facility. Project is within the Upland Review Area. Map 03A/Block 44/Lot 23A. Deemed "As of Right Use"
- B. IW-20-033: Joseph Ploszay, 39 Blue Heron, to construct a 676 sq. ft. IG pool within the Upland Review Area. Map 24/Block 64/Lot 20-16. Continued to December 30, 2020 Meeting

Joint Facilities

The Colchester –East Hampton Joint Facilities Board held a virtual Regular meeting on November 17. Roof repairs have started on the main building. Bids went out for the other accessory buildings. Estimates cost is approx. \$211, 000. Mr. Paggioli, Public Works Director for the Town of Colchester reported on the status of Colchester Main repair. An engineering firm has been chosen and the contract for design work is in the process of being finalizing. Odor control in Colchester and East Hampton is being mitigated as needed.

Library Advisory Board

The Library Advisory Board met on November 2 via Zoom. Members discussed an Internet Safety Policy and a Circulation Policy. They approved the 2021 meeting dates.

The Library Advisory Board met again on November 23 via Zoom. Members approved the Internet Safety Policy. This policy is being presented to the Town Council for approval.

Middle Haddam Historic District Commission

The Middle Haddam Historic District Commission met on November 19 via Zoom. The members reviewed an application for Cobalt Lodge to build a new one-story building on the property connecting to the main building. The existing house and garage on the property needs to be demolished to make way for and gain more space for the planned building. The members told the applicants that the demolition of the existing house and garage need to be a separate application to be approved. The only item that can be approved is the one-story building. The members did approve of the proposed building as long as the existing house and garage can be torn down. The minutes from September 2019 and August 2020 were tabled for the next meeting. The 2021 meeting dates were approved by the members. The members also briefly discussed a new veterinary clinic coming to town and the area the business will be moving into.

Parks & Recreation Advisory Board

The Parks & Recreation Advisory Board met on December 1. Board members received a presentation by GreenPlay and discussed the master plan. Members approved the 2021 meeting dates. The Town Council approved the Memorial Bench Policy. Members received an update on the Air Line Trail, program updates and the RFP for the baseball field, tennis court and track at the High School.

Planning & Zoning Commission

The Planning & Zoning Commission met on November 4 via Zoom. Public Hearings:

- A. Application PZC-20-018: Atlantis Marketing, 157 Main St., 5 Colchester and 1 Colchester Ave. for a zone change from R-2 to Commercial. Map 07A/Block 56/Lot 24, Map 07A/Block 56/Lot 21 and Map 07A/Block 56/Lot 22. Continued to December 2nd meeting
- B. Application PZC-20-022: East Hampton Rotary Club Foundation, 45 Daly Rd., for a Special Permit for parks, playgrounds and public recreation Sec. 4.4.D.1.d Map 33/Block 87/Lot 3. Continued to December 2nd meeting.
- C. Application PZC-20-023: JCG Properties, LLC., 9 Middle Haddam Rd., for a Zone Change from R2 to Commercial for approximately 5 acres to operate a veterinary clinic. Map 01C/Block 9/Lot 5. Continued to December 2nd meeting.
- D. Updates to Sec. 3.1 Lake Pocotopaug Protection Zone Approved

New Business:

A. Whispering Woods Performance Bond Release Request - Approved

Old Business:

- A. Discussion: Update Sign Regulation to Include PO/R Zone Sign Standards. Continued to December 2nd meeting.
- B. Discussion: Home Based Occupations Continued to December 2nd meeting.
- C. Updates to the Official East Hampton Zoning Map Continued to December 2nd meeting

Town Facility Building Committee

The Town Facility Building Committee met on November 5 via Zoom. The members received the architect update, the construction manager update, and the OPM update. The members also discussed the final or closeout projects. The potential projects would be a carport with solar panels on top for the police department and the installation of a shed or a bigger garage for extra storage for tables, chairs, and other bigger items. There was discussion of the payment timing for the Newfield applications #21 and #22. It was decided to have the funds released from hold to pay the applications for Newfield. Three invoices were approved by the members.

The Town Facility Building Committee met on November 19 via Zoom. Members received an update from the OPM noting the audio visual still needs to be completed. There was discussion about the water pressure issues in the restrooms. Two change orders were approved, and one was rejected. Members also approved Newfield Payment Application #23 at a reduced amount.

Water Pollution Control Authority

The Water Pollution Control Authority Board held a virtual Public Hearing meeting on November 3rd. A new budget report line item has been created specifically for Grinder Pumps. The addition of the line item allows for a clearer account of the moneys spent on grinder pump repairs and maintenance. Water shut off notices have been sent out to approx. 15 water customers. The generators are in place at Barbara Ave. and Pine Trail

pump stations with a projected finish for mid-December. The board continued discussion on the Sewer Connection fees as well as commercial meter accounts.

Zoning Board of Appeals

The Zoning Board of Appeals met on November 9 via Zoom. Public Hearing:

A. Application ZBA-20-015, Kevin Herrick, 49 Bay Road, to reduce side setback 15' to 12' to construct a 4' wide deck to allow for access and egress from the main floor. Map 09A/Block 70/Lot33. Approved

BOARD AND COMMISSION SUMMARY DECEMBER 2020

Arts & Culture Commission

No meeting

Board of Finance

The Board of Finance met on December 21 via Zoom. The Regular Meeting calendar for 2021 was unanimously approved. It was discussed and decided that start times for 2022 FY Budget meetings will be moved to 6:30 in order to better accommodate board member's schedules.

Brownfields Redevelopment Agency

The Brownfields Redevelopment Agency met on December 28 via Zoom. The members welcomed a new member to the agency. There were brief discussions on 1 Watrous and 13 Watrous. There was discussion regarding additional information and documents to add to the presentation for the Town Council. The presentation will be ready and should be on the Town Council's agenda for their January 26 meeting.

Clean Energy Task Force

The Clean Energy Task Force met on December 1 via Zoom. John Greeno, a former Clean Energy Task Force Chairman, joined the meeting to discuss what the other task forces in the state are doing for clean energy programs during the winter months. In Branford there is a heat smart program helping to heat people's homes during the winter months. He also suggested the town join the Sustainable CT group to help bring more clean energy and sustainable energy programs to the town. It was decided that there will be a presentation from Sustainable CT during the January meeting. The members approved the 2021 meeting dates and nominated a new Chairperson and kept the existing Vice Chair.

Commission on Aging

The Commission on Aging met on December 10 via Zoom. JoAnn Ewing provided an overview of online activities being arranged by the Senior Center. The members will invite the Housing Authority Director to the January meeting to review any possible coordination between the Commission on Aging and the Housing Authority. The Clean Energy Task Force has expressed an interest in meeting with the Commission regarding ways to help senior save money on energy needs.

Conservation-Lake Commission

The Conservation-Lake Commission met on December 10 via Zoom. The members reviewed a plan for a pool on a residential property. It was approved as submitted since all precautions are being taken during the project. The members discussed the budget, the watershed projects/ federal funding, the advisory panel, the lake smart program, and the lake level. The members discussed the RFQ for Lake Limnologist. A list of bidding companies was sent to the members to review and discuss at the next meeting. The list will be narrowed down to a group of three. There won't be any sort of monetary proposals sent in at this time, the members are only looking at the qualifications of the bidders.

Design Review Board

The Design Review Board met on December 17 via Zoom. They reviewed a plan for a new sign at 42 West High Street. The sign was approved noting the owner should check on the ADA compliance of the lettering. They also reviewed an addition at 29 Middle Haddam Road. The plan was approved as submitted.

Economic Development Commission

The Economic Development Commission met on December 15 via Zoom. The members discussed the closeout of the East Hampton Eats Campaign, possible projects and grants the commission could apply for, the business package promotion & tourism, reviewed the New Business Update/New Businesses with Planning & Zoning Approval/Review of P&Z Minutes, New Business Banner Locations, Belltown Spotlight on Business, and was presented with a possible project/event for the Air Line Trail bridge under Town Beautification. The project would be purchasing bells from participating business in the area of the bridge and attaching the bells to the bridge. The bells could have sayings or words written on them with paint pens to have them be custom to the person or family. This will help to have the bridge become a tourist spot in town. There will be more details and a presentation from Cici Bevin about the bells at the January meeting.

Ethics Commission

No meeting

Fire Commission

The Fire Commission met on December 14 via Zoom. There were no bills to approve. The Fire Marshal and Fire Chief announced their reports. The members discussed the dry hydrants, the AC upgrade, the software migration, and the upcoming budget.

Inland Wetland Watercourses Agency

Continued Applications:

A. IW-20-033: Joseph Ploszay, 39 Blue Heron, to construct a 676 sq. ft. IG pool within the Upland Review Area. Map 24/Block 64/Lot 20-16 - Approved

New Applications:

- A. IW-20-034: John Uliano, 29 Pocotopaug Dr., To construct a pool and 2 retaining walls within the Upland Review Area. Map 25/Block 64/Lot 20A-1. Continued
- B. IW-20-035: Edgewater Hill Enterprises, LLC., East High St., to construct Salt Pond Apartments (40 units); a phase of the Edgewater Hills Master Plan. Map 10A/Block 85/Lot5C. Continued
- C. IW-20-036: Connecticut Contractors Group, LLC., Daniel Street, Subdivision. Map 13/Block 51/Lot 1. Public hearing set
- D. IW-20-039: Donald Ballsieper, Navajo Trail, New home construction with the Upland Review Area. Map 09A/Block 74/Lot 167. Continued
- E. IW-20-040: Cary L. Gagnon, 5 Boulder Road, to install bike trails and wooden bridges in Wetlands and Upland Review Area. Map 03A/ Block 44/ Lot 23F. Continued

Joint Facilities

No meeting

Library Advisory Board

The Library Advisory Board met on December 7 via Zoom. The members discussed the draft 2021/2022 operating budget. They also discussed a revision to the Circulation Policy.

Middle Haddam Historic District Commission

The Middle Haddam Historic District Commission met on December 17 via Zoom. The members reviewed a certificate of appropriateness to demo a one-story house and a multiple bay garage on the Cobalt Lodge property under public hearing. The members briefly discussed this application before approving the certificate as submitted. Under new business the members were informed of new residents on Knowles Road wishing to put in a circular driveway in place of their existing driveway. They were left with an application to fill out to come in front of the commission.

Parks & Recreation Advisory Board

The Parks & Recreation Advisory Board met on December 1. A presentation was given by GreenPlay on the master plan. Members approved the 2021 meeting dates. Members received updates from the Air Line Trail subcommittee, updates on winter programming and the RFP for the baseball, tennis and track at the High School.

Planning & Zoning Commission

The Planning & Zoning Commission met on December 2 via Zoom. Public Hearing

A. Application PZC-20-023: JCG Properties, LLC., 9 Middle Haddam Rd., for a Zone Change from R2 to Commercial for approximately 5 acres to operate a veterinary clinic. Map 01C/Block 9/Lot 5. Continued

New Business

- A. Application PZC-20-024: Paula Free, 249 West High St., for a special permit per Sec. 8.4 Motor Fuel Filling Station for a proposed convenience store. Map 06/Block 12/Lot 1B. Continued
- B. Application PZC-20-025: Z Incorporated / Cobalt Health Care, 29 Middle Haddam Rd., for a Special Permit to demo an existing commercial building and rebuild. Map o1C/Block 9/Lot 7. Continued

Town Facility Building Committee

No meeting

Water Pollution Control Authority

The Water Pollution Control Authority Board held a virtual Public Hearing meeting on December 1. The WPCA received the (DOT) 2021 paving schedule which will affect 50 manholes and 20 water valve boxes within RT 151, Skinner Street, Main Street and Summit Street. WPCA is waiting on clarification from DOT regarding any reimbursement of repairs for the subcontractors after paving is completed. The Barbara Avenue and Pine Trail pump station generators are complete and up and running. The board continued discussion on the Sewer Connection fees as well as commercial meter accounts.

Zoning Board of Appeals

No meeting